



# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

and the substitution of the following securities be and the same hereby are approved:

FEDERAL NATL MTG ASSN  
Receipt Date: 11/12/2015  
Receipt Number: 6059  
Cusip Number: 3136G2QF7  
Rate: 2.000%

Union Bank & Trust Company  
Lincoln, Nebraska  
Maturity Date: 10/27/2020  
\$1,000,000.00

VALLEY COUNTY NE SCH DIST  
Rate 2.900%  
Receipt Date: 07/08/2015

\$250,000.00  
Maturity 12/15/2026  
Cusip 919563CR5

Union Bank & Trust Company  
Lincoln, Nebraska  
Receipt No. 5911

VALLEY COUNTY NE SCH DIST  
Rate 3.000%  
Receipt Date: 07/08/2015

\$250,000.00  
Maturity 12/15/2027  
Cusip 919563CS3

Union Bank & Trust Company  
Lincoln, Nebraska  
Receipt No. 5912

Motion was made by Prauner and seconded by Schmidt to approve the consent agenda. Roll call vote: Ayes, Ohl, Prauner, and Schmidt. Nays, none. Motion carried.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to approve the regular agenda. Roll call vote: Ayes, Ohl, Prauner, and Schmidt. Nays, none. Motion carried.

Pursuant to published legal notice in the Saturday, December 5, 2015 edition of the Norfolk Daily News, Chairman Ohl announced that a public hearing would be held on the application of Doug Sunderman for a conditional use permit to operate an animal feed lot on property located in Section 24-23-1, which is located approximately 2½ miles East of Hwy. 81 on 836th Road.

Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter reported that the application is consistent with the comprehensive plan and zoning and subdivision regulations. She reported that the application is for a 999 head equivalent of cow/calf combinations, which makes it a medium animal feeding operation. She stated that the matrix score was 405 points and 350 points are required to pass. She reported that the application was unanimously approved by the Planning Commission. In addition, she stated that Loren Bosler lives North of the feedlot and he spoke in favor of the application at the Planning Commission meeting.

Chairman Ohl opened the public hearing at 9:33 AM. The applicant Doug Sunderman explained that the feedlot is located on 836 Road and will be managed by Kevin Daniels. He reported that in the future he would like to turn this feedlot into an operation to background cows.

Commissioner Schmidt asked how the roads were to this feedlot and Mr. Sunderman responded that the roads are sand and in good condition. Commissioner Prauner asked if the drainage at the feedlot was good and Mr. Sunderman responded that drainage on the East side is good and the drainage runs to the West which is a level pasture. Commissioner Schmidt asked if the waste would need to be contained for this feedlot and Mr. Sunderman responded that this operation has less than 1,000 head so waste containment is not necessary.

No one testified in opposition to the conditional use permit. Chairman Ohl closed the hearing at 9:35 A.M.

Commissioner Schmidt announced that Mr. Sunderman is his first cousin; however, he has no financial interest in the feedlot operation and will not exclude himself from voting on this application.

After discussion, the following resolution was introduced:

## RESOLUTION #2015-58

A RESOLUTION GRANTING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR CERTAIN LAND LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF MADISON, NEBRASKA UNDER AUTHORITY GRANTED BY THE MADISON COUNTY ZONING AND SUBDIVISION RESOLUTION AND LEGISLATIVE BILL 186 (1978)

WHEREAS, a recommendation has been received from the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and

WHEREAS, notice of public hearing was published in the December 5, 2015 edition of The Norfolk Daily News, and a public hearing was held by law.

WHEREAS, the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and the Madison County Board of Commissioners have established the following Statement of Finding of Facts:

1. The application is consistent with the Madison County Comprehensive Plan.
2. The application is consistent with the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Regulations.
3. A pre-submission meeting was held as required by Madison County Zoning Regulations on November 10, 2105.
5. The score for this facility on the Madison County Livestock Feeding Operation Siting Matrix was 385 points, and 350 points is needed to pass.
6. The application is for a 999 cow/calve feedlot.
7. There was no testimony at the Planning Commission public hearing opposing the application.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Madison County, Nebraska, hereby grants a Conditional Use Permit to Doug Sunderman for a Medium Animal Feeding Operation on the following described land:

The SW¼ NE¼ & W½ SE¼ of Section 24, Township 23 North, Range 1 West of the 6th P.M., Madison County, Nebraska.

This Conditional Use Permit shall be subject to the following conditions in addition to those established with the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision regulations:

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

1. This is a Medium Animal Feeding Operation Conditional Use Permit for operations of up to 999 head equivalent of cow/calve combinations.
2. This conditional use permit shall be for an unlimited amount of time subject to successfully passing an annual review of the Madison County Livestock Feeding Operation Siting Matrix, implementing the additional conditions of this permit, and will become effective with the adoption of this resolution.
3. This permit is subject to the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Regulations, the laws of Nebraska and the United States Government and the AFO permitted here shall be operated to comply with all of these entities.
4. Any new owner/operator of this operation must give notice to the Madison County Zoning Administrator 30 days prior to the closing date of the sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that upon taking effect of this Resolution, the above Conditional Use Permit shall be entered and shown on the Official Zoning Map of Madison County, previously adopted by reference, and said zoning map is hereby reincorporated as a part of the Madison County, Nebraska Zoning and Subdivision Resolution as amended.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner that the foregoing resolution be adopted. Roll call vote: Ayes, Ohl, Prauner, and Schmidt. Nays none. Motion carried.

Pursuant to published legal notice in the Saturday, December 5, 2015 edition of the Norfolk Daily News, Chairman Ohl announced that a public hearing would be held on the application of Eric Siecke for a conditional use permit to park semi-trucks on property located in Section 28-23-1, which is located on the West side of Hwy.81 approximately 5 miles South of the City of Norfolk.

Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter reported that at the September 24, 2015 Planning Commission meeting a recommendation was made to allow Mr. Siecke to park his trucks on the East part of the property, which was voted as a unanimous recommendation. She stated that upon further review Mr. Siecke indicated that an extensive amount of dirt work would be required to use that particular area, which was discussed at the previous hearing before the Commissioners. She explained that on October 20, 2015, the Commissioners approved a resolution to allow Mr. Siecke to park on the East part of the property with a request that the applicant return to the Planning Commission for any modifications. Ms. McWhorter stated that Mr. Siecke would like to request that he be allowed to park trucks anywhere on the property with the exception of a triangular piece of ground that adjoins the neighboring property to the South which is owned by Eric and Megan Altwine. She explained that Mr. Siecke would flag that area and refrain from parking in the triangular area as a courtesy to the Altwines. She stated that the Planning Commission voted six to three in favor of allowing parking anywhere but in the triangular area which is 195 feet East to West. She stated that the Planning Commission members who voted against the application indicated that they didn't want to intervene by giving specific areas for the permit and that the zoning either qualifies or does not qualify. She explained that all the original information is the same, except that a review is being made to see if Mr. Siecke can have additional parking.

Chairman Ohl reported that based upon the discussion held at the previous hearing before the County Board, each Commissioner visited with Mr. Siecke. He stated that he met with Mr. Altwine on his property and the other Commissioners also visited the property and had an opportunity to discuss this matter.

Chairman Ohl opened the public hearing at 9:40 A.M.

Eric Siecke testified that he is in favor of parking his trucks on the Southwest corner in the pasture. He reported that there is water standing all over where the truck trailers are currently parked, so the only reasonable spot to park is in the pasture. He explained that this is why he purchased the property because it is located right off the highway and is set up perfectly for semis. Chairman Ohl asked for a clarification on where the water is standing and Mr. Siecke responded that there is water in the field and where the trucks are now parked by the highway. Mr. Siecke reported that the drivers had to walk through water this morning to get to the trucks.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that when looking at the map of Mr. Siecke's property, there are different shades in certain areas and he questioned if the different colors refer to different soils. Ms. McWhorter responded that there are different soil types on the parcel. Mr. Siecke pointed to a sand hill on the map. He stated that he is a neighborly person and in his opinion, lines should not be placed on his property because this is the reason he bought the property. He stated that he has always done his best to keep everybody happy and he will continue to do that.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that Mr. Siecke has a bid from Ganskow Construction for dirt work and he questioned where the dirt work would be completed. Mr. Siecke pointed out the location on a map and explained that if dirt was hauled into a certain area, there wouldn't be any place for the water to drain. He pointed out where water drains naturally on the property, the location where the land slopes and has good sand that packs down well. He pointed out a location where hardly any rock would need to be hauled. Commissioner Schmidt asked where Mr. Siecke plans to park trailers if the application is approved. Mr. Siecke pointed out the two areas on the map that he plans to use for parking trucks, by parking either diagonally, or backing the trucks straight to the West behind some trees. He reported that he would work with several options to see what would work the best.

Commissioner Schmidt explained that there is a line of site from Altwine's patio to the Northeast and trees were removed so the Altwine's could have internet service. He asked for a gentlemen's agreement that Mr. Siecke would not park trailers in this line of site. Mr. Siecke responded that he would not park trailers in this line of site because this is the only place that he can see Altwine's house. Commissioner Schmidt stated that he wanted to make sure that Mr. Siecke would agree to not park trailers in this line of site because trees could not be planted there to block the site because of the internet usage.

Chairman Ohl stated that the application is to have no more than 15 trucks/trailers parked on this property at any given time. Mr. Siecke explained that he owns all the trucks, they are all licensed, and no one else's trucks will be parked at this location.

Commissioner Prauner asked if the trucks arrive at all times of the day. Mr. Siecke responded that on Thursday nights they have trucks returning at about 9 P.M. from hauling fat cattle; but, there are a few times when trucks leave early in the morning between 5 A.M. and 6 A.M. or later at night. Commissioner Prauner asked if the trailers are all washed off when parked and Mr. Siecke responded that the trailers are not washed out all the time, but they are washed out once or twice a week. He stated that the trailers are not parked very far from his house and he can't smell them.

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Chairman Ohl stated that the bid from Ganskow Construction says 780 yards of dirt, 2 foot fill, 80' by 100' feet, and he questioned what section of property is proposed for the fill dirt. Mr. Siecke pointed out the area where the fill dirt is to be placed. Chairman Ohl asked if this was a situation where the trailers would need to be backed in and Mr. Siecke responded affirmative since there would be plenty of room to swing the trailers around and he pointed out the location on a map.

Commissioner Prauner stated that when he was on the property he noticed that there were truck parts on the far East portion of the property. Mr. Siecke responded that he didn't think there were any trucks parts on the East part of his property. Commissioner Prauner explained that it looked like mufflers or something similar and he said that he doesn't want this area to become a junkyard. Mr. Siecke stated that he keeps his place neat and organized and he didn't know where these items would be on his property. Commissioner Prauner explained that the items he saw were on the Northwest part of the property. Mr. Siecke responded that there were a couple items located there and Commissioner Prauner apologized that he had misspoke.

Chairman Ohl asked if Mr. Siecke could have things kept on the property without approval of the current conditional use permit. Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter responded that Mr. Siecke has a current conditional use permit approved to park anywhere on the East portion of his property, so he is already allowed to have 15 trucks; but, the applicant is asking for a permit to park trucks anywhere on his property except for the triangular portion pointed out earlier, which would be a revision of the resolution that was already approved.

Jason Doele, attorney representing Eric and Megan Altwine, testified in opposition to the conditional use permit. He presented a packet which was accepted into the record. Mr. Doele explained that the Altwines are parents to three young children and the youngest just turned two months old on December 14th. He reported that the Altwines are not transplants from the city moving out to the country; but, they grew up in the country and are familiar with country life, and want to raise their children in the country just as they grew up. He stated that the Altwines purchased the property five years ago and it consists of 16 acres more or less. He said that he understands that the Commissioners previously approved a permit to allow Mr. Siecke to park trucks on the land outlined in yellow as shown on Exhibit 1, which is good for five years. He stated that the permit was approved over objections from some of the neighbors, but mostly as a compromise also between all the parties. He stated that the Altwines didn't love the idea, but they are certainly fine with the yellow portion for the conditional use permit. He stated that the very next day after the permit was approved, Mr. Siecke made an application to revise the conditional use permit to an area defined on Exhibit 2 with the proposed triangle. He said that the Madison County Joint Planning Commission voted to recommend Exhibit 3, even though it was not a unanimous vote with three votes against. He explained that Exhibit 3 shows the triangle proposed by the Joint Planning Commission.

Mr. Doele stated that the Altwines are not in favor of the recommended conditional use permit as presented to the County Board, even with the proposed triangle from the Joint Planning Commission. He explained that page one of Exhibit 4 provides a bit of perspective and shows the back of the Altwine's home, which is a nice beautiful home that has some remodeling completed both inside and out. He said that the picture shows the back yard and the back deck where the family spends a great deal of time outside. He explained that the back yard faces Mr. Siecke's property, specifically the property that is now proposed for a conditional use permit being considered at this hearing. He reported that page 2 of Exhibit 4 shows Altwine's out building, horse area, and also two areas where there are tree clearings providing a clear vision of the property where Mr. Siecke is requesting the extended conditional use permit. He stated that page 3 of Exhibit 4 is a view from Altwine's property where they currently ride their horses and Mr. Siecke's cottonwood trees can also be seen. He said that Mr. Siecke testified at a prior hearing that he was considering removing some or all of these eight cottonwood trees. Mr. Doele explained that page 4 of Exhibit 4 shows where Mr. Siecke's trucks currently sit and the photo was taken from Altwine's property looking East into Mr. Siecke's property. He stated that page 5 of Exhibit 4 was taken from Altwine's property along the fenceline, and shows the cottonwood trees in the background and to the left of the fence is an open area which consists of the current conditional use permit in the background and in the foreground immediately closest to the camera is where the proposed conditional use permit would take place. He explained that page 6 of Exhibit 4 shows wood piled in the immediate triangular area that is outlined in the conditional use permit to give the Commissioners some perspective of what they are looking at in the pictures. He reported that page 7 of Exhibit is taken from old Highway 81 showing a trailer that is parked and he saw from past minutes there was discussion about the cleanliness of the trailers and how frequently they are washed, but this picture shows some manure coming out of this trailer. He stated that one of the concerns that the Altwines raised before was smell if trailers are allowed to park closer to this property in the newly proposed conditional use permit area. He stated that in addition to the smell and site of the trailers in the exposed areas, the Altwines are concerned about the noise. Mr. Doele stated that the Altwines are a horse loving family, Megan is an accomplished rider, and the children are on the horses at a very young age which is shown on page 1 of Exhibit 5. He reported that the riding pasture is directly adjacent to Siecke's property which can be seen with the red gate and the wood pile just beyond the red gate which is across from the triangular area that has been proposed. He explained that the Altwines have plans of building some stable areas right next to the area shown on page one of Exhibit 5. He stated that the noise factor would be a major concern as it relates to the horses, but specifically if the children and Mr. or Mrs. Altwine were riding the horses and were spooked by the noise from the trucks if they were running in that vicinity. Mr. Doele explained that pages 2 through 5 of Exhibit 5 were taken from the Altwine's property and shows the wood piles and how close the proposed conditional use permit is located to the Altwine's property. He said that if the Commissioners were out to the property, and he knows that several Commissioners have been, they were able to see this visually and that this picture gives a perspective of how close that is. He said that the Altwines mentioned this at the last zoning meeting; but, as he mentioned before, the Altwines plan to build more stalls for the horses right in the vicinity depicted by the pictures. He reported that page 1 of Exhibit 6 was taken from inside the Altwine's home from the back door and as was seen on the first photo of the house, the Altwines have a wonderful back yard and a great view; but, this picture shows a parked trailer which is approximately where the triangular area would be located. He explained that this picture shows the clear view that the Altwines would have from their back yard if trucks are allowed to park there. He stated that pages 2 and 3 of Exhibit 6 show how exposed the back deck and backyard are, which is where the semi trucks and trailers are proposed to be parked with this application. Commissioner Schmidt asked if the photos were a view to the Northeast of the house through the internet clearing and Mr. Doele responded affirmative. Mr. Doele reported that the other clearing would be if they were standing by their shed looking out and other photos would also show this. He clarified that the photos are from the Altwine's house looking to the Northeast direction. He explained that the red pickup on page 3 of Exhibit 6 is just on the Siecke side of the boundary fence, so a clear view that exists can be seen through this opening. He reported that Exhibit 7 consists of four pages taken on Mr. and Mrs. Altwine's property and shows items which belong to Mr. Siecke. He said that Mr. Siecke previously testified that he uses the white containers for waste oil and, in his opinion, with some discussions between the land owners this can be resolved; but, these items are currently sitting on Altwine's property when the photos were taken.

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Commissioner Schmidt asked if there was a misunderstanding of where the property line is located and Mr. Doele responded that he doesn't know; however, he feels that this issue can be resolved easily. Mr. Doele pointed out a fence post on page 1 of Exhibit 8 that identifies the property line. He said that there is essentially what could be a dirt road that borders the property and that the fence line is essentially in the middle of the dirt road, so part of the dirt road would be on the Altwine property and part would be on the Siecke property if a vehicle had to get in or out of the property. Commissioner Schmidt asked if the property has been surveyed and Mr. Doele responded that Altwines had a survey completed before they purchased the property. He asked if there were survey markers and Mr. Altwine responded that a survey was completed approximately five years ago.

Mr. Doele explained that page 2 of Exhibit 8 was taken from the North fence looking South almost standing where the boundary fence post is, or just to the West of the fence post, and white containers can be seen on this photo. He reported that page 3 on Exhibit 8 shows some concrete slabs that are located on the Altwine property that belong to Mr. Siecke. Commissioner Schmidt asked if the concrete was at this location before the property was purchased. Mr. Altwine responded that there is an opening in the trees at this location to gain access to each side of the tree line and it looks like the concrete was placed at this location in an attempt to keep people from driving through.

Mr. Doele reported that there was talk when reading through the previous minutes about a buffer zone that potentially could be put up to help shield at least the visual aesthetics of the semi trucks; so, they asked Ross Schroeder, who is a respected and experienced tree guy who transplants a lot of trees in this area, for several quotes. He stated that page 1 of Exhibit 9 is a quote for only cedar trees and page 2 is a quote for blue spruce trees. He explained that if a buffer zone is required, maintenance obviously would be required as well, including water and he doesn't know what Mr. Siecke's access would be to water, but this certainly would need to come into play if a buffer zone was required.

Mr. Doele stated that another concern that the Altwines have long-term is the future value of their property if the conditional use permit is allowed to move further West right next to their property. He explained that they asked several realtors to come out and look at the property and provide an opinion of value. He reported that Exhibit 10 is a letter from Kathy Means, who works for RE/MAX in Norfolk. He explained that she was shown both the current and proposed conditional use permits. He stated that the Altwines expressed their concerns to Ms. Means and she wrote a letter for the purposes of the hearing. He explained that one of the issues that the Altwines have would be the noise of the semis if they were allowed to park closer to their property compared to where they are currently parked. He stated that someone was using a chain saw in the new proposed area and Ms. Means noticed that and she mentioned it in her letter as to the affect of being able to hear it from the Altwine's house.

Mr. Doele explained that the final exhibit is Exhibit 11. He stated that they asked for a formal comparative market analysis from Megan Eckert, who works for ERA Premier Team. He said that it is a three page exhibit, but page 3 is the most relevant portion for the purposes of this hearing and the concern that the Altwines have, which is a decrease in value of their property if the trucks were allowed to park in close proximity to where they have their horse stables, barns, and where their backyard is located. He explained that this can be seen from the photo at the bottom of the underlying portion of page 3. He stated that for the reasons presented, the Altwines are against the proposed conditional use permit. He stated that they are fine with the current conditional use permit which was implemented at the last hearing. In addition, he explained that if the Commissioners feel that a compromise needs to be made, the Altwines have a suggestion to present. Mr. Doele asked the Commissioners to turn to Exhibit 1 of the packet and he pointed out that at the bottom half of the yellow outlined portion is where cottonwood trees exist and if Mr. Siecke would remove some or all of the cottonwood trees in the Southwest corner, the Altwines would be okay with moving the West edge of the yellow boundary line another 80 feet to the West, which would allow Mr. Siecke and his drivers to pull trucks into a portion that is dry ground and presumably where dirt and rock would be placed to provide an additional 80 feet that they could back up the trailers against the West edge of the boundary if there was ever overflow parking. He stated that the benefit of this proposal would not only be giving more room where it is dry, but Mr. Siecke wouldn't be required to bring in trees for a buffer zone because there are a fair amount trees already there. Mr. Doele said that if the Commissioners were out at the location they would have seen that. He pointed out the exposed areas on this exhibit and the existing buffer zone so no further trees would be required to come in unless Mr. Altwine decided to bring in his own. He stated that this is another compromise that the Altwines bring to the table if the Commissioners want to consider it. He said that the Altwines were present and would be happy to answer specific questions if he couldn't do so.

Commissioner Prauner asked if the items shown on page 4 of Exhibit 7 are shooting targets. Mr. Doele responded that he didn't know and he deferred the question to Mr. Altwine who took the photo. Mr. Altwine responded that they appear to be shooting targets, but they aren't his even though they are located on his property.

Chairman Ohl closed the public hearing at 10:09 A.M.

Commissioner Prauner asked if Mr. Siecke knows where the property line is located and Mr. Siecke responded that his assumption is that the property line is right along the trees, which is what Dick Broders told him, even though no one has shown him the pins. He stated that if some of his items are located on Altwine's property, he will remove them today. He reported that there isn't any oil in the white totes. He asked where the property line stake was located and Mr. Altwine responded that where the railroad tie on the North fence line is located in the road and then it's a straight line from that railroad tie to where the break is on the North end of the field to where the other survey pin is located. Mr. Altwine explained that about two-thirds of the dirt road is on the Siecke property and about one-third of the dirt road is on his property. Mr. Siecke stated that the items that he owns will be removed from Altwine's property today.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that Mr. Siecke does a lot of shooting on his property. Mr. Siecke responded that he shoots once in a while. He explained that the shooting target is for pistols. Commissioner Schmidt stated that he assumes if Mr. Siecke is shooting pistols, that there is a background. Mr. Siecke responded that the pistol shooting background is to the North. Commissioner Schmidt asked if Mr. Siecke was shooting towards Altwine's property, and Mr. Siecke responded that he was not.

Commissioner Schmidt said that Exhibit 11 shows the internet opening. He stated that Mr. Siecke testified that if he parks trucks or trailers out there, he wouldn't park in that opening, but he would park trucks further West behind some of the trees. He questioned if that is what the Altwines understood. Mr. Altwine responded that this is what they understood and that no parking would occur in the triangular area. Commissioner Schmidt stated that if Mr. Siecke would park trailers up against the West fence, what he could tell from the Altwine house is that it would be difficult to see that trailer if it wasn't in the tree line opening. Mr. Altwine responded that from that particular view a trailer would be difficult to see, but there are also other openings in the tree line. Mrs. Altwine stated that when walking down to their buildings there are several large open areas in the trees that can be seen through and that they spend the majority of their time outdoors down by their building.

Commissioner Prauner asked how many horses the Altwines have and Mrs. Altwine responded that they have two horses. Commissioner Prauner asked if they have more horses in the summer. Mr. Altwine responded that they have one horse that is 28 years old that they are trying to keep by himself at the current time to get him through one or two more winters and that they have several other horses that are located at his father-in-law's house. He said between his father-in-law and his wife, there are 13 or 14 horses located at his father-in-law's house.

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Commissioner Prauner asked if removing the cottonwood trees as suggested by the Altwines was a viable alternative. Mr. Siecke responded that this area is wet. Commissioner Prauner asked if this area drains well if rock is hauled in and Mr. Siecke responded that this area drains from the Northwest down to the fence line by the cottonwood trees.

Chairman Ohl stated that one request that was made when Mr. Doele testified was to consider adjusting the existing conditional use permit by moving the line 80 feet to the West and he inquired if Mr. Siecke had any thoughts or comments on that suggestion. Mr. Siecke stated that this is not enough road and that the sand knoll is in the Southwest part of that pasture. Chairman Ohl asked if Mr. Siecke was saying that the topography wouldn't be conducive for parking trucks. No verbal comment was made by Mr. Siecke.

Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter stated that she didn't give her opinion on what her recommendation was earlier. She reported that for the record, she tends to agree with the Planning Commission members who voted nay because consideration must be given to the precedence being set. She explained that if the property zoning allows Mr. Siecke to have trucks parked with a conditional use permit, which it is, how much micro-managing does the County want to do by drawing specific triangles, because this sets a precedent for other properties. She stated that this is her opinion as a Zoning Administrator and this should be taken into consideration. She explained that unfortunately, this possibly will become a civil issue in the future. She stated that she doesn't know how much of this has to do with zoning and if this is something that the County wants to take on at the zoning level, to say that a triangle will be drawn here or a rectangle will be drawn there. She said that this is just her opinion.

Mr. Doele responded that the County Board certainly has the power and authority to do that. He explained that the triangle was initially proposed by Mr. Siecke although the Planning Commission extended that triangle out. He requested that the County Board members consider the fact that they have the power to adjust the conditional use permit or to just go with the existing conditional use permit.

Mr. Siecke explained that the triangle option was presented just to be neighborly. He stated that whether that triangle is there or not, he will do whatever he can to work with the Altwines, maybe by parking further North. He stated that he is willing to work with his neighbors and he always has been.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that he does not want to be adversarial, but at the previous meeting he suggested that Mr. Siecke consider parking the trucks East of his house on the land which is zoned, and if he remembers right, Mr. Siecke thought that would be a good idea. He explained that if Mr. Siecke parked the trucks just East of his house out in the open, the trucks would be right there when he steps out into the open. Mr. Altwine asked if this would be on the existing commercial property and Commissioner Schmidt responded affirmative. Mr. Altwine stated that this would be perfectly fine with him because Mr. Siecke can already do that now.

Commissioner Schmidt explained that the trailers are parked in broad site all the time, but if the trailers were parked straight North of the Altwine house there is a big bunch of trees, and if they walked out to their shed they would be able to see the trailers; however, when they are sitting on their patio, for the most part, and especially in the summer time when there are leaves on the trees, you basically wouldn't be able to see the trailers. Mr. Altwine responded that they may not be able to see them; however, they would definitely be able to hear and smell them. Commissioner Schmidt stated that as far as smell, the Altwine property is surrounded on the North and West by land owned by a large feedlot and he assumed that manure is hauled onto this property. Mr. Altwine concurred that he definitely thought so. Commissioner Schmidt said that whether the smell is coming from the trailers or from the field, there is the likelihood that when there is a North wind, the Altwines will smell organic fertilizer. Mr. Altwine responded that he has never smelled manure when there is a North wind, but possibly from the Southeast they could smell manure. Commissioner Schmidt reiterated that he does not want to be adversarial, but he wants to try to do something for both Mr. Siecke and the Altwines. He said that he doesn't want the Altwines to be disrupted from their lives, so he wants to do whatever he can to satisfy both parties. Mr. Altwine explained that this is one of the reasons he chose to put his building at its current location to get it further away from the road since he could have easily put it East of his house, but he wanted to keep it back where he had some buffer from the road noise. He explained that they ran hydrants back to the building for when they expand and build stables. Commissioner Schmidt said that he would like to do something that would allow the Altwines to be neighborly to Mr. Siecke so that everyone leaves the meeting not necessarily happy, but satisfied.

Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter stated that her earlier statement was not said because she is taking one side over the other. She explained that her job specifically is to interrupt the regulations because that's what she is hired to do, so based upon the regulations this is what it states. She said that she realizes that the Board's job is to look at different situations; so, based upon what she is tasked with, the property is zoned to allow truck parking with a conditional use permit. She said that she is not saying that one Eric should have it over the other; but, in her job she needs to look at what is allowable and micro-managing is not something that she does. She stated that the County Board has the power to do that.

Commissioner Schmidt asked if the area proposed with the current application is an overflow parking area or if it would be a regular area to park trailers. Mr. Siecke responded that it would be a regular spot for the cow trucks. He explained that the reefer trucks are rarely ever home, and if they are home, it may only be for one day. He said that the reefers could continue to park by the road for a day or two where they are already parking.

Sheila Dreismeier said that she knows that the public hearing is closed, but she had one comment. She stated that Eric Siecke owns his semi tractors; however, he does not own the trailers which are owned by Reigle Farms. She asked what would be wrong with parking the trailers at Reigle's feedlot where there is plenty of room. She said that if Mr. Siecke would only bring the semi trailers to his house, it wouldn't take up near as much room and there wouldn't be smells or flies. She asked what would be wrong with Mr. Siecke not parking cattle trailers at this location since he doesn't own the cattle pots. Mr. Siecke responded that he owns the property and he is not going to drive back and forth and back and forth because it is more paper, fuel to do all that, and wear and tear on the trucks.

Commissioner Prauner stated that if he was going to pass this resolution, he would have Mr. Siecke plant three rows of cedar trees 30 foot away from his fence line all the way around the property. Mr. Siecke responded that he didn't buy this property to plant trees because there are already trees on the property.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that he understands what some of the Planning Board members were talking about as far as drawing lines. He said that he doesn't like the idea that the County would draw lines on the property even though he realizes that this was something talked about by the property owner, Eric Siecke. He stated that he is a little hesitant to draw lines. He explained that Mr. Siecke said that even if the Commissioners wouldn't require the lines on the public record, he would still honor the lines and park to the North of the 190 foot line. He explained that if the application would be approved with the lines, he would ask that Mr. Altwine or Mr. Siecke put a post that would be a reminder for everyone that trailers shouldn't be parked South of the line. He said that this is an opportunity for everybody to get along.

Commissioner Schmidt made a motion to approve the recommendation of the Joint Planning Commission; but, without the lines and that they would expect that there would be a gentlemen's agreement that Mr. Altwine and Mr. Siecke would follow the lines, but there wouldn't be an enforcement of the lines.

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Chairman Ohl clarified that the motion is to approve the recommendation of the Planning Commission with removal of any lines or restrictions on the conditional use permit. Commissioner Schmidt stated that this was the correct motion. Ms. McWhorter clarified that the resolution would state that Mr. Siecke would be allowed to park anywhere on his property and the neighborly thing would be to establish a buffer, so nothing would be included in the conditions of the permit. Commissioner Schmidt stated that he would expect that Mr. Siecke would not park in the line of site from the house. He said that he is not concerned about walking out to the shed and looking through a spot in the trees and being able to see the trailers simply because the Altwines said it would be okay if the trailers were parked East of the house which is always in full site of the house. He explained that he doesn't see that this is necessarily a problem.

Mrs. Altwine asked if she could make a comment and Commissioner Schmidt responded that he has a motion on the floor waiting for a second, so if there is a second, discussion could follow.

For the sake of discussion, Chairman Ohl seconded the motion.

Mrs. Altwine commented that Mr. Siecke is already allowed to park trucks on the entire commercial property if he wants to, so if the revised application is approved they would be surrounded by semi trucks everywhere around them. Mr. Altwine stated that this is where they put up a building for their horses. Mrs. Altwine said that this is a life style and what they enjoy doing, so they don't want that compromised. She asked why the value of their property should potentially be declined or possibly not even be able to sell the property in the future just because Mr. Siecke does not want to haul in a few thousand dollars worth of rock when he already has an area approved to park trucks. Commissioner Prauner asked if the entire area could be filled up with trailers and Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter responded that the permit is limited to 15 trailers.

Mr. Doele commented that his recollection from reading the minutes at both the Planning Commission stage and the Commissioners' stage was that the initial idea or plan was that this area would be for overflow and Mr. Siecke is now saying that he plans on using this area regularly. He stated that he thinks this is different than what was initially said in minutes from previous meetings. He commented that he thought that this was just for overflow. He said that his recollection of reading the minutes is that the trucks are on the road and Mr. Siecke doesn't make money if they are not on the road and the trucks are generally home during the holidays. Mr. Doele said that for overflow purposes, Mr. Siecke was looking at this particular portion that is now being considered. He stated that Commissioner Schmidt asked a great question, but Mr. Siecke is now saying that this area will be for regular parking which is different than past comments made getting to this point when looking at the conditional use permit. He explained that this is a concern, in addition to the noise and proximity of the horses, and they can't stress enough the concern with these horses and small children and how easily these horses can spook. He said that, in his opinion, this is a legitimate concern.

Mr. Siecke stated that he lives next to a four-lane highway and every time that he is on his property the horses come to the fence to see what is going on, so they aren't spooked by any means. He reiterated that they live next to a four-lane highway and there are trucks going by every ten seconds and there is noise, straight pipes, and all kinds of stuff. Mr. Altwine responded that the highway is almost 900 feet away from their house. Mr. Siecke stated that Bob Anderson lives there and is further away from the highway than the Altwines.

Commissioner Prauner asked if there are young children riding horses when trucks are going by and Mr. Siecke responded that he has never seen children on the horses since he lived there. Commissioner Prauner stated that he still thinks that there needs to be a buffer zone with trees around the property, especially due to the sights and also the sound. He said that he was on a farm for many years and if you get up at 3 o'clock in the morning and start your diesel engines up, they make a lot of racket. He stated that he doesn't know what kind of pipes are on the trucks, but straight pipes are very noisy, which is why he prefers a buffer zone with cedar or spruce trees to hold the noise away. Mr. Siecke responded that there are many trees on his property and Commissioner Prauner responded that the trees are deciduous and that cedar trees buffer noise much better than deciduous trees.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that he understands what Commissioner Prauner is saying; however, the Board saw a bid from Mr. Schroeder, and he knows Mr. Schroeder does a good job; but, if he would bring in trees that would serve as a buffer, that would be very, very expensive. He explained that if Mr. Siecke planted trees, it would probably be 20 years before they would actually serve as an effective buffer. Commissioner Prauner said that the trees would need to be 10 foot tall and that hauling in dirt may be a better idea.

Chairman Ohl called for a vote on the question with the following results: Roll call vote: Ayes, Schmidt. Nays, Ohl and Prauner.

Chairman Ohl said that they could have additional discussion or he would entertain another motion.

Motion was made by Prauner to require Mr. Siecke to put in a buffer of 10 foot tall cedar or spruce trees around the West and South sides of the perimeter of his property 30 feet away from the property boundary line.

Ms. McWhorter questioned the area where the trees would be planted because she would be asked to write the resolution. Commissioner Prauner stated that Mr. Siecke would not be asked to go past his property line.

For the sake of discussion, Chairman Ohl seconded the motion.

During discussion on the motion, Chairman Ohl stated that Commissioner Prauner mentioned a requirement that the trees would be 10 foot tall and there was a quote for trees for a much smaller section, so that could be a significant expense, and he didn't even know how to begin to estimate the total cost. He explained that a less expensive alternative may be hauling in dirt.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that he appreciates what Commissioner Prauner said, but he thinks this is an unaffordable compromise. He explained that there are deciduous trees and some red cedar trees on the Siecke property and there are openings in the trees, but they have already talked about the opening to the East of the Altwine house, along the South road, as not being a problem to the Altwines. He said that if Mr. Siecke parks his trucks along the West fence, his observation is that, eight or nine months of the year the Altwines will not be able to see those trucks, trailers, or whatever is parked there. He said that the view is blocked by tall deciduous trees, and a bunch of Cedar trees, and he sees this as being good enough.

Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter stated that there have been a lot of pictures that both Mr. Siecke and Mr. Altwine provided and it is hard to tell from the pictures whether they are zoomed or whether they are accurate pictures. She said that fortunately Mrs. Altwine provided a picture taken while standing on the deck which shows a real view of what will be seen when standing on the deck. She stated that some of the other pictures on both cases may not have been as accurate. She reminded everyone of the opening in the trees and this may be something to take a look at again. She said that some of the other pictures may possibly have been deceptive.

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Mr. Altwine requested the Board to take a look at the number associated with the decrease in value of their property, which is far higher than the cost of trees. He stated that this is a significant number that he may potentially lose or possibly even deter a buyer from purchasing their home. He asked the Board to take this into consideration because that could create a problem for him in the future. He stated that the number is also far higher than any amount of rock or dirt that would need to be moved onto the Siecke property. Commissioner Schmidt said that he appreciates this information; but, as he observes the situation, either option would require money out of Mr. Siecke's pocket. He stated that he appreciates the real estate agents' thoughts about his property; however, until the Altwines sell their property, they don't know what the impact would be. He said that he assumes Mr. Siecke paid a lot of money for his property and the County could approve the application even if there were no trees whatsoever at the location; however, there are trees which block the view. He said that this is what he is looking at because Mr. Siecke would need to come up with the money and that is why he is being cautious about this issue. He explained that he is not disagreeing with Mr. Altwine.

Chairman Ohl called for a vote on the question with the following results: Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner. Nays, Ohl and Schmidt.

Chairman Ohl stated that he doesn't mean to be disagreeable with either Commissioner and he understands that both parties have made a tremendous investment in their properties and houses. He expressed appreciation for the work that they tried to do to cooperate together and he knows it is a difficult situation because it impacts the business, family, and residences. He stated that if he was in the shoes of either party, he would have concerns and frustrations. He reiterated that he doesn't mean to be disrespectful of the motions on the floor.

Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter stated that she has conversed with County Attorney Joseph Smith. She said that there is a current conditional use permit in effect with a list of conditions and the review that they are looking at is for a specific area. She stated that her interpretation of the matter is that the Commissioners need to either vote for Mr. Siecke's triangle, or the Planning Commission's triangle, or no triangle. She stated that she didn't know at this stage that they could revise everything else on the application because that is what the revision was for. She said that she could double check this information if the Board members would like her to do that. She explained that based on the conversations, they are getting into areas that they probably shouldn't be heading out into.

Mr. Altwine asked if a vote was taken on the proposal. County Clerk Nancy Scheer reported that both motions failed. Commissioner Prauner reiterated that nothing has been approved. Ms. McWhorter stated that her understanding of the process needs to be whether the Board wants to go with the Planning Commission triangle of 200' x 195', or does the Board want to go with Mr. Siecke's triangle of 200' x 135', or does the Board not want to have a triangle at all. She reiterated that, in her opinion, these are the options.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that his motion was for no triangle, just a gentlemen's agreement. Ms. McWhorter stated that if the Commissioners are going to go back to a cedar tree buffer, or make other revisions, then unfortunately, in her opinion, they will need to go through a big process again.

County Attorney Joseph Smith asked what happens if the process starts over. Ms. McWhorter responded that at this point, the conditional use permit that has been approved would still stay, but Mr. Siecke would have to apply again.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that, in his opinion, this matter needs to be resolved today.

The following resolution, which included recommendations from the Joint Planning Commission, was introduced by Commissioner Schmidt:

## RESOLUTION #2015-59

A RESOLUTION GRANTING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR CERTAIN LAND LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF MADISON, NEBRASKA UNDER AUTHORITY GRANTED BY THE MADISON COUNTY ZONING AND SUBDIVISION RESOLUTION AND LEGISLATIVE BILL 186 (1978)

WHEREAS, a recommendation has been received from the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and

WHEREAS, notice of public hearing was published in the December 5, 2015 edition of The Norfolk Daily News, and a public hearing was held by law.

WHEREAS, the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and the Madison County Board of Commissioners have established the following Statement of Finding of Facts:

1. This application is consistent with the Madison County Comprehensive Plan, Zoning and Subdivision Regulations and the Zoning Map.
2. The property is zoned as AT Agriculture Transition.
3. Mr. Siecke purchased the property from Mr. Broders. He operates a trucking business and would like to use a portion of the Ag land for parking of his personally owned trucks.
4. The two parcels to the south, which are also owned by Mr. Broders and are considered as part of the purchase, are currently zoned as Highway Services District; truck parking is a permitted use in this area.
5. Mr. Siecke currently has a Conditional Use Permit allowing him to use the east part of the pasture for truck parking. He is requesting that he be allowed to use the northwest portion of the pasture for additional parking.
6. Mr. Siecke has proposed that a triangular piece of ground adjoining Erik Altwine's property that measures 200 feet north and 135 feet east will be exempt from parking as a compromise with Mr. Altwine.
7. The Madison County Planning Commission voted 6-3 to recommend the additional parking with the triangle measuring 200 feet north and 190 feet east.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Madison County, Nebraska, hereby grants a revision (in red) to the Conditional Use Permit issued to Eric Siecke on October 20, 2015 for the parking of personally owned semi-trucks on the following described land:

A tract of land in the SE¼ of the SE¼ of Section 28, Township 23 North, Range 1 West of the 6th P.M., Madison County, Nebraska

This Conditional Use Permit shall be subject to the following conditions in addition to those established with the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision regulations:

1. All trucks/vehicles covered under this Conditional Use Permit shall be owned by Mr. Siecke.
2. There will be no more than 15 trucks/trailers parked on the property at any given time.
3. ~~Truck Parking will be permitted on a tract on the East part of the property as indicated in the attached map (See attachment A).~~ **Truck Parking will be permitted on the property with the exception of a triangular piece of property which adjoins the south property line that is currently owned by Eric and Megan Altwine. Exempt area measures from corner of property line 200 feet north and 190 feet east.**

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

4. This Conditional Use Permit is for a period of five years. It will be automatically renewed at the end of the 5 year period unless there are verified complaints, at which time a review of the Conditional Use Permit would be needed.
5. The applicant should be aware it is his responsibility to remove any Nebraska Noxious Weeds found on the property at any time after the approval of this application by the County Board of Commissioners.
6. A copy of the signed permit must be recorded with the Madison County Register of Deeds office by applicant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that upon taking effect of this Resolution, the above Conditional Use Permit shall be entered and shown on the Official Zoning Map of Madison County, previously adopted by reference, and said zoning map is hereby reincorporated as a part of the Madison County, Nebraska Zoning and Subdivision Resolution as amended.

During discussion on the motion, Commissioner Prauner stated that he has been around a lot of gentlemen's agreements and they usually end up in a fist fight. Commissioner Schmidt stated that this resolution is not a gentlemen's agreement. Commissioner Prauner disagreed. Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter explained that the resolution includes the triangle that needs to be flagged and Mr. Siecke cannot park in this area. Commissioner Prauner stated that he misunderstood the resolution. Ms. McWhorter explained that the resolution is what the Planning Commission recommended. Commissioner Schmidt stated that if this resolution passes, he would like the record to state that the County Board is not trying to set a precedent, but he didn't know if this was possible. Ms. McWhorter stated that this was her concern in her position as Zoning Administration, and she wanted this stated for the record.

Norfolk Daily News reporter Jerry Guenther questioned if the 135 foot restriction had already been approved. Chairman Ohl explained that the permit approved was for a specific area of property along Highway 81. Ms. McWhorter explained that the applicant asked for a triangular restriction of 200' x 135'; however, the Planning Commission recommended a triangle measuring 200' x 195'.

Mrs. Altwine asked if the County Board approves the 195' triangle, could a statement be included in the resolution for trees so that Mr. Siecke couldn't use the area within the 195 foot, or perhaps use this area only for over flow parking as discussed earlier.

Commissioner Schmidt said that he understands that idea, but he asked who decides the idea of overflow parking. He stated that if the Altwines plant some cedar trees right along the fence line so they wouldn't have to see Mr. Siecke's trailers this would be their option. He said that he is being cautious because if the Altwines could see the trailers from the house, he would be more apt to say that Mr. Siecke could share the costs of putting in trees; but, since there are already trees on the property the Altwines could plant trees if they didn't want to see trailers when they walk out to their building. Mr. Altwine stated that they can see the trailers from their house.

Commissioner Prauner stated that when he previously served as a Commissioner, someone wanted to start a shot gun course and they were required to put in a tree line buffer, so this option has been around for some time and the regulation can be enforced if the County wants to require a tree buffer.

County Attorney Joseph Smith asked if the Planning Commission considered this issue and Ms. McWhorter stated that it was discussed. Commissioner Prauner asked how this regulation would be enforced and no one responded. Chairman Ohl asked what options would the County have if Mr. Siecke is not complying with the conditional use permit and Mr. Smith responded that the County Board could request Ms. McWhorter to complete a report. Commissioner Prauner asked how the stipulation would be enforced and Mr. Smith responded that the County has done this before. Commissioner Prauner asked if the County could go onto private property to measure how far the trucks are parked away from the boundary. Mr. Smith responded that the Weed department wants to get a drone to complete similar tasks. Commissioner Prauner explained that this is a problem because the restrictions can't be enforced. Mr. Smith explained that there are two properties and if it's an enforcement issue, he assumes complaints will be made. Commissioner Prauner stated that the other property will still need to be measured to determine how many feet trucks are parked away from the triangle. Mr. Smith asked the Zoning Administrator if the County can go onto people's property and Ms. McWhorter responded that she can go onto the property because a conditional use permit was issued and compliance needs to be verified.

Mr. Doele asked if the motion on the floor gets voted down, would the existing conditional use permit in place still be good and Ms. McWhorter confirmed that the first permit approved by the Commissioners would still be good because that is an existing resolution.

Chairman Ohl called for a vote on the proposed resolution with the following results: Roll call vote: Ayes, Ohl and Schmidt. Nays, Prauner.

Chairman Ohl thanked everyone for coming to the hearing and for the investment made in the area. He encouraged the property owners to cooperate. He encouraged Mr. Altwine to do whatever he could to be as neighborly as possible and consider finding ways to create a buffer and do whatever he could to be as best a neighbor as he could. He again thanked everyone for their investment and time.

Commissioner Schmidt reported that Lancaster County adopted some provisions that made it nearly impossible for a wind farm to locate in the County due to the decibel ratings. He stated that Norfolk Area Economic Development Director Courtney Dentlinger told him that she had different wind farms visit with her about the possibility of locating in Madison County. He stated that he wanted to discuss this topic to determine what the public perception is about wind farm opportunities. He stated that if they were contacted by a wind farm that was serious about coming into the Madison County area, they would like to be prepared. He reported that there was a wind farm that was talking about locating Southwest of Battle Creek. He stated that Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter advised that the County has zoning regulations for wind farms. He reiterated that the Commissioners want to bring the topic before the public so that if the public has any input regarding a wind farm in Madison County, they can offer their comments.

Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter reported that a meteorological tower is located in Pierce County at the current time. She explained that she spoke with the Planning Commission and they asked that the Zoning Administrator from either Antelope County or Boone County, who have dealt with wind farms for several years, be invited to a future Planning Commission meeting. She reported that Antelope County had some situations where the first phase came through and revisions were made for the second phase based upon the situations that they had seen, so their knowledge would be very valuable.

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Commissioner Schmidt stated that it may also be helpful to bring in a County Supervisor or Commissioner to get additional input on the affect of wind towers to the County's infrastructure. Ms. McWhorter responded that this is an excellent idea because a Board member could advise how the County's levy and tax revenue would be affected. She submitted information regarding the current Madison County regulations for wind farms on lighting, decibels, paint colors, and revenues. Commissioner Schmidt reported that four wind towers can now be seen in the Creston and Humphrey area.

Norfolk Daily News Jerry Guenther stated that this issue could be printed as a poll question. He explained that it would not be non-scientific, but the responses may be helpful. Commissioner Schmidt said that wind towers generate a significant amount of revenue for the County. Commissioner Prauner inquired about removing a wind tower after its useful life and Ms. McWhorter responded that the company would be required to take the tower down when it is no longer being used. Ms. McWhorter reported that there is a meteorological tower located South of Battle Creek that is no longer being used and she requested that it be taken down. She explained that the land valuation doesn't change when a wind tower is installed, but the revenue generated on the tower is "name place capacity tax" based upon the kilowatts produced.

Chairman Ohl stated that he would also be interested in hearing from the public. He expressed appreciation to Commissioner Schmidt for putting this topic on the agenda. He said there may be neighbors concerned about wind turbines and other counties have probably dealt with this issue, so it would be great to hear the thoughts and experiences of other counties regarding this issue.

No action was taken.

County Attorney Joseph Smith and Juvenile Accountability Officer Sherry Peterson met with the Board to provide an update on the juvenile accountability program. Mr. Smith reported that at a previous meeting he talked to the Board about the staff position and County Clerk Nancy Scheer correctly brought up the issue of County share of FICA and retirement not being paid from the grant funds. He explained that the grant application will be submitted soon and the proposal is to take the FICA expense from the grant funds; however, he was unsure about the procedures to be used. He stated that the money for the FICA expense would come from the grant and not from taxes. He reported that the grant funds are deposited into the General Fund. County Clerk Nancy Scheer stated that an option to consider would be to establish a new fund for the juvenile accountability grant, which would require an amended budget. Mr. Smith asked if the FICA and retirement costs are taken out of General Fund and Mrs. Scheer responded affirmative. Mr. Smith stated that all the costs are taken out of the large General Fund, but procedurally it would be better to have a mini fund. He explained that he plans to move more of the costs associated with the juvenile accountability program to be paid from the grant funds because he could cut down the amount of the County match.

Mr. Smith explained that another component of the program is registered providers with probation, which means that probation will be using the services of the program for different functions, such as day reporting and after school tutoring. He reported that this is on a contract basis so the County will get paid for the services. Commissioner Prauner asked if these services were currently being completed by Mrs. Peterson. Mr. Smith responded that the County currently provides this service for the schools and also some services for probation; but, in the future registered providers will provide more services. He explained that the County will receive money when providing the services and this money must be deposited into the General Fund. Mrs. Scheer asked who the County would contract with and Mr. Smith responded that the contract would be with the State of Nebraska Social Services. Mr. Smith explained that he needs to speak to someone in Lincoln to determine exactly how this program will work. He reported that this program will bring money into the General Fund; however, there will be more people involved.

Mr. Smith reported that consideration is still being given to relocation of the juvenile services program to the building where The Zone is located. He stated that he wants to make sure there are no complications with probation work in The Zone building. He stated that they are trying to cut costs to the County and get some revenue.

Commissioner Prauner asked how long the lease contract is at the Centrum. Mr. Smith responded that the lease expires in February. He explained that the Centrum has been a great partner and very cooperative, has bent over backwards for the County, and has done everything that was asked. He stated that the rent is reasonable, but he wants to make sure that none of the programs are jeopardized by moving and this would require him, and possibly one of the Commissioners, to meet with Probation staff. He explained that one issue needs to be looked at with respect to the nature of populations.

Commissioner Prauner asked if there is any furniture needed when the juvenile center is moved to the Zone and Mr. Smith responded that they have received some donations and are using County owned items. Commissioner Schmidt stated that if there is a need for file cabinets, some were recently moved to the storage shed. Mr. Smith stated that the County furniture or equipment could also be used toward the grant match. Chairman Ohl asked if Mrs. Peterson has taken inventory of the donated items and Mrs. Peterson responded that some items were donated by the Junior High School and also by the County.

Chairman Ohl asked County Clerk Nancy Scheer if it would be a good idea to create an additional fund for the juvenile accountability grant funds and Mrs. Scheer responded that she would like to meet with County Attorney Joseph Smith and Juvenile Accountability Officer Sherry Peterson to determine exactly what costs should be paid from the juvenile accountability office function. Mr. Smith estimated that he would like approximately \$15,000 to be paid to the County from the grant fund. Mrs. Peterson explained that if another full time person is added, it would cover the costs of retirement and insurance for this person. Mr. Smith stated that Mrs. Scheer correctly pointed out that they added employees, but they don't see the retirement or FICA costs coming out of the juvenile accountability budget. Mrs. Scheer explained that the costs of the matching FICA, retirement, and also the County's contribution to the health insurance premiums, are a significant amount of money. Mr. Smith responded that the estimated cost of these benefits is \$15,000 for an additional staff member and these expenses will be paid through the grant. Mr. Scheer explained that taking the FICA and retirement costs from grant funds would be an accounting process handled by the County. Mr. Smith explained that there are ways that the County taxpayers could save money with the juvenile accountability program and this is one method. He reported that there are also ways that the County can establish a revenue stream that will help fund the program. He stated that he has a lot of grants and is unsure if they will continue if there is a change in administration after the 2016 elections.

Chairman Ohl stated that Mr. Smith pointed out at the last meeting that if the grant dollars go away, the programs also go away. Mr. Smith reported that there is a significant amount being spent on these programs and when the juvenile accountability program started it was very effective and now that it has expanded, it is still very effective. He said that Probation wants to use the program throughout the whole district in place of other resources and it can be done efficiently because there is a short chain of command.

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Chairman Ohl reported that he was encouraged several weeks ago when he attended a meeting where Principal Mike Hart at the Junior High School said how much he appreciates the program and the opportunity for a child who gets into trouble and needs to be out of school during the day to be in the juvenile accountability program. He said that Mr. Hart tells students that they won't be going home or out on the street, but they will receive tutoring and will be benefiting even though they are in a situation where they are in trouble. He said that this was encouraging to hear from the schools and great to hear that the schools have been cooperating, donating items, and working hand in hand on this program.

County Attorney Joseph Smith stated that it's good to have programs in place where the County doesn't need to contribute much funding. He said that the Legislature sometimes dumps things on the County.

Norfolk Daily News reporter Jerry Guenther asked if Mr. Smith's plan was still to give up one of his current secretaries and hire a replacement. Mr. Smith responded that he plans to move one of his secretaries into the juvenile accountability department and then hire someone to work in his office that would be paid less because he wouldn't have to worry about 25 years of seniority. He said that he told his staff that an employee who moves into the juvenile accountability department takes a risk that the grants may discontinue.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that when this issue was discussed at a previous meeting, there was some confusion about the juvenile accountability center and the juvenile detention center. Chairman Ohl said that the names are very similar, but the difference is that one is Northeast Nebraska Juvenile Center and the other one is Madison County Juvenile Services Center; otherwise, both offer juvenile services. Commissioner Prauner reported that he received a number of calls regarding this confusion.

County Attorney Joseph Smith reported that the juvenile detention center has decreasing numbers. He stated that they can contract with the juvenile detention center for shelter. Chairman Ohl stated that he hoped that the additional staff would be helpful to Mrs. Peterson. Mr. Smith reported that initially when the juvenile accountability program started, he wanted to do several things including monitoring juveniles on bonds, making sure that diversion was taken care of as far as accountability, making sure that he could help probation, and having some meaningful liaison with the schools with respect to students that imposed a danger to themselves or other students. He explained that this grant worked very fast from the start and now it is quite large. He reported that Mrs. Peterson is doing a good job with the program.

No action was taken.

Commissioner Prauner reported that the County is expecting delivery of a new dump truck in February, 2016 and then the truck will be sent to Hastings to attach the snow plow and spreader; so, it will be about March 1st before payment must be made. He said that the interest rate was 2.49% from Mack Financial when bids were received for the dump truck and the company will continue to honor this interest

rate until delivery of the truck.

No action was taken by the Board.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to table a claim payable to Nebraska State Bar Association in the amount of \$240.00 for 2016 Association dues to District Judge James Kube. Roll call vote: Ayes, Ohl, Prauner, and Schmidt. Nays, none. Motion carried.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to table a claim payable to Stratton, DeLay, Doeke, Carlson & Buettner, P.C., L.L.O. in the amount of \$6,259.87, for legal services for District Court Case No. C113-209, David Ivey v. William Gibson & Tyllynne Bauer. Roll call vote: Ohl, Prauner, and Schmidt. Nays, none. Motion carried.

The following written reports were reviewed:

- 1) Fund balance report
- 2) County Clerk November, 2015 fee report
- 3) Register of Deeds November, 2015 fee report
- 4) Clerk of the District Court November, 2015 fee report
- 5) County Sheriff November, 2015 fee report
- 6) Sale of surplus property report

The following claims were audited:

GENERAL FUND		
Total Net Payroll		134,652.56
Robert Acklie	Jury Fees, District Court	83.80
Advance Correctional Healthcare	Medical Services Contract, County Jail	11,375.56
Ag Spray Equipment	Repairs, Equipment, Noxious Weed	589.46
Ronald J. Albin	Legal Services, District Court	748.44
Albracht Disposal Service Inc.	Garbage Service, Courthouse	94.00
Albracht Disposal Service Inc.	Garbage Service, County Jail	155.00
Antelope County Clerk	Reimbursement of Services, Juvenile Accountability	46.88
Amber L. Anderson	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
American Family Life Assurance CO	Insurance Premiums	800.74

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Ameritas Life Insurance Corp	Insurance Premiums	356.88
Appera	Mat Service, Public Defenders	29.26
Appera	Mat Service, Courthouse	3.82
AS Central Services	Data Processing Fees, County Clerk	52.00
AS Central Services	Data Processing Fees, County Treasurer	120.20
AS Central Services	Telephone Service, Zoning Department	23.45
AS Central Services	Teletype Service, County Jail	32.00
AS Central Services	Telephone Service, Noxious Weeds	23.44
AS Central Services	Telephone Service, Veterans Service	61.72
Assembled Products Corp.	Equipment, County Sheriff	571.13
Battle Creek Farmers Coop	Supplies, Courthouse	305.00
Mylet M Baum	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Jonathan R Beck	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Dustin W. Becker	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Henry E. Belmer	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
BI Incorporated	Monitoring Services, Juvenile Accountability	984.32
Black Hills Energy	Gas Services, Courthouse	155.19
Black Hills Energy	Gas Services, County Jail	255.11
Blue Cross Blue Shield of Nebraska	Insurance Premiums	2,412.03
Brady & Amy's Inc.	Fuel, Courthouse	31.05
Brady & Amy's Inc.	Fuel, County Sheriff	906.88
Brady & Amy's Inc.	Fuel, Noxious Weed	31.41
Brandl Electric	Repairs, County Jail	50.96
Cable One	Cable Service, Probation	553.13
Cable One	Cable Service, Courthouse	218.50
Kay Cantrell	Tutoring Services, Juvenile Accountability	120.00
Cash-Wa Distributing	Supplies, County Jail	177.84
CBM Managed Services	Meals, County Jail	7,362.02
Century Link	Telephone Service, Probation Office	632.30
Ryan D. Chilson	Jury Fees, District Court	122.90
Choice Foods	Fuel, County Sheriff	45.39
City of Crofton	Reimbursement of Services, Juvenile Accountability	24.76
City of Madison	Utilities Service, Public Defenders	213.94
City of Madison	Utilities Service, Courthouse	2,580.35
City of Madison	Utilities Service, County Jail	3,604.94
City of Norfolk	Utilities Service, Veterans Service	34.97
Melissa L Classen	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Amanda L Clausen	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Colonial Life and Accident Insurance	Insurance Premiums	400.12
Complete Pest Eliminations, Inc.	Pest Control, County Jail	125.00
Connecting Point	Equipment, County Clerk	1,186.00
Connecting Point	Internet Service, Parts, Juvenile Accountability	72.90
Connecting Point	Internet Service, Veterans Service	38.95
Connecting Point	Service Contract, Courthouse	3,387.20
Consolidated Management Company	Meals, County Jail	157.50
Constellation Newenergy	Gas Service, Zoning Department	3.67
Constellation Newenergy	Gas Service, Noxious Weed	3.67
Constellation Newenergy	Gas Service, Veteran's Aid	38.72
Cook's Correctional Kitchen	Supplies, County Jail	45.19
Copycraft Printing	Uniforms, County Jail	98.80
Copycraft Printing	Uniforms, Probation Office	471.35
Courtesy Ford	Repairs, Zoning Department	44.35
Jim Crilly	Witness Fees, County Court	21.15
Heath E. Croghan	Fees, Mileage, District Court	95.30
Culligan	Supplies, Juvenile Accountability	42.60
Cuming County Clerk	Reimbursement of Services, Juvenile Accountability	556.88
Nicholas D Dederman	Jury Fees, District Court	35.00
Timothy S DeFor	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Jessica L Demmel	Jury Fees, District Court	81.50
Dent Specialists, LLC	Repairs, County Sheriff	412.32
Bradley D Detlefsen	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Douglas County Treasurer	Atopsy Fees, Law Enforcement	250.00
Amber L Derevecky-Fernau	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Eakes Office Solutions	Supplies, District Judge	49.98
Eakes Office Solutions	Supplies, County Attorney	798.00
Eakes Office Solutions	Supplies, County Jail	183.96
Eakes Office Solutions	Supplies, Probation Office	322.18
Eastern Auto Service Inc	Repairs, County Sheriff	1,015.56
Ecolab	Laundry Service, County Jail	317.00
Egley, Fullner, Montag and Hockabout	Legal Services, County Court	3,032.77
Tyler L Fickler	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Field's Hardware	Supplies, Courthouse	29.97
Field's Hardware	Supplies, County Jail	10.97
First Concord Benefits Group	Administration Fees, Flex Plan, Deductible Buy-Down	6,335.84
Floor Maintenance & Paper Supply	Supplies, Probation Office	381.44
Silas G Fluellen	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Elizabeth R Forsch	Jury Fees, District Court	61.45
David K Freudenburg	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Galls/Quartermaster	Uniforms, County Sheriff	81.16
Galls/Quartermaster	Uniforms, County Jail	143.18
Amanda M Gilmore	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
George W Gleckler	Jury Fees, District Court	116.00
GreatAmerica Financial Service	Copier Lease, Public Defender	145.26
John L Grimes	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Tara G Handy	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Chelsey R. Hartner	Reimbursement of Postage, Public Defender	17.54
Gary L Hawkins	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Matthew Headley	Reimbursement of Postage, Public Defender	98.00
Charlie Hendric	Contracted Services, Juvenile Accountability	665.00
Melissa J Heppner	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Erik Herrera	Jury Fees, District Court	70.00
Anne M Hess	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Hilliges and Associates, LLC	Polygraph Services, Law Enforcement	250.00
Christopher R Holderness	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Hometown Leasing	Copier Lease, Probation Office	772.71
Jeffrey L Hrouda	Legal Services, County Court	3,541.97
Brian N Hundt	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
HyVee Accounts Receivable	Meals, Juvenile Accountability	302.73
IAAO	Membership Dues, County Assessor	190.00
Interstate All Battery Center	Supplies, County Sheriff	192.55
Jack's Uniforms & Equipment	Uniforms, County Sheriff	426.32
Jack's Uniforms & Equipment	Uniforms, County Jail	39.94
Diana K James	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
David J. Jaskierney, Jr., M.D.	Autopsy Services, Law Enforcement	900.00
Nancy Jochum-Schrmam	Court Reporting Services, Mental Health	100.00
Jeanice R Johansen	Jury Fees, District Court	144.75
John Disposal	Garbage Service, Probation Office	61.00
John Disposal	Garbage Service, Veteran's Aid	21.00
Johnson Engineering Company	Services, County Board	2,330.00
Beth A Johnson	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Sharon E. Joseph Law Office	Legal Services, County Court	1,024.80
Keenan Law, P.C., LLO	Legal Services, County Court	662.25
Thomas O Kelly	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Jamie S Klabunde	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Heather J Koenig-Cleveland	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
John Krantz	Rent, Juvenile Accountability	1,500.00
Joseph A Krings	Jury Fees, District Court	99.90
Kayla J Lackas	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Arif J Lakha	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Lancaster County Sheriff's Office	Service Fees, County Court	19.21
Perry V Lewis	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Lexis Nexis	Subscription, County Attorney	442.00
Joseph C Leyden	Jury Fees, District Court	116.00
Casey Lieneman	Supplies, Juvenile Accountability	69.92
Neisha L Lutt	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Madison County Clerk	Tax Deposit	50,869.65
Madison County Court	Filing Fees, County Court	1,532.00
Madison County Clerk of District Court	Filing Fees, District Court	1,059.00
Madison County Sheriff Inmate Fund	Supplies, County Jail	123.14
Madison County Sheriff	Civil Process Fees, County Court	1,348.61
Madison County Sheriff	Civil Process Fees, District Court	3,337.73
Madison County Sheriff	Civil Processing Fees , Mental Health Board	342.88
Madison National Life Insurance Co Inc	Insurance Premiums	137.52
Raymond J Maguire	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Marathon Press	Supplies, District Judge	211.00
Barbara Misilko	Mileage, Public Defender	18.40
Medtox laboratories	Medical Services, Juvenile Accountability	27.58
Menards	Supplies, Noxious Weed	44.25
Heidi K Merritt	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Microfilm Imaging Systems, Inc.	Equipment Lease, County Court	218.00
Midwest Plumbing & Heating	Repairs, County Jail	408.41
Britney L Miller	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

MIPS Inc.	Data Processing Services, County Treasurer	605.69
MIPS Inc.	Data Processing Services, Register of Deeds	518.00
Andrew Mohr	Mileage, Juvenile Accountability	36.23
Jose Moreno-Alvarado	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Morland, Easland and Lohrberg	Legal Services, County Court	1,689.75
Morrissey Motor Company	Repairs, Reappraisal	547.90
Deonne M Naticchioni	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
National Enterprise Systems	Garnish of Wages	207.06
Nationwide Retirement Solutions	Deferred Comp	2,530.00
Nebraska Association of County Officials	Membership Dues	2,668.36
Nebraska Child Support Payment Center	Garnish of Wages	369.23
Nebraska Public Power District	Electrical Service, Probation Office	356.98
Nebraska State Bar Association	Dues, Public Defenders	711.00
Norfolk Auto Center	Repairs, County Sheriff	26.77
Norfolk Daily News	Publication Costs, Extension Office	98.14
Norfolk Daily News	Subscription, County Sheriff	72.22
Norfolk Daily News	Publication Costs	203.93
Norfolk Daily News	Publication Costs, District Court	44.95
Norfolk NAPA	Parts, Courthouse	3.08
Norfolk NAPA	Parts, Noxious Weed	9.20
North Central Health District	Supplies, Juvenile Accountability	603.39
Northeast Community College	Lease, Extension Office	3,905.00
Northeast Medical Imaging, P. C.	Medical Services, County Jail	316.00
Northeast Research & Extension Center	Supplies, Telephone, Postage, Extension Office	793.14
Michael R Olmer	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Valorie R Olson	Court Reporting Services, District Court	161.25
Sheila J Ortiz-Castillo	Jury Fees, District Court	52.25
Parent to Parent Network	Training, Supplies, Fees, Juvenile Accountability	2,087.96
Stephanie Petersen	Telephone Service, Mileage, Juvenile Accountability	50.00
Pierce County Court	Copies, Law Enforcement	2.25
Susan Pohlman	Tutoring Services, Juvenile Accountability	295.00
Sarah Polacek	Registration, Extension Office	38.00
Rhonda J Praeuner	Jury Fees, District Court	116.00
Gloria F Rardon	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Ramada Inn - Kearney	Lodging, County Jail	222.00
Rafael Rayos	Supplies, County Jail	20.59
Kim K Rech	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Lori D Rector	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Reigle Implement Co. Inc.	Repairs, Courthouse	20.00
Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas	Employee Retirement	9,168.52
Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas	Employer Retirement	13,421.35
Reynoldsons	Fuel, Zoning Department	34.47
Reynoldsons	Fuel, Noxious Weed	69.17
Michael Saegebarth	Fees, Mileage, County Court	38.40
Jared Schulte	Jury Fees, District Court	58.00
Security Shredding	Shredding Services, County Attorney	35.00
Security Shredding	Shredding Services, District Court	35.00
Lee Sherry	Meals, Lodging, Mileage, Extension Office	718.27
Kristen (Grant) Sindelar	Mileage, Extension Office	11.50
Kathleen A Slocum	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Stratton, Delay, Doele, Carlson, Buettner, P.C., LLO	Legal Services, County Court	3,105.55
Linda Swenson	Computer Consultant Services	1,280.00
T. O. Haas Tire	Repairs, Service, County Sheriff	702.92
The Glass Edge	Equipment, Probation Office	1,924.00
Transunion Risk & Alternative	Services, County Sheriff	6.75
University of Nebraska - Lincoln	Contact Service, Extension Office	11,067.70
Urgent Care Center of Norfolk	Medical Services, Juvenile Detention	232.00
US Bank Corporate Payment System	Fuel, Equipment, Supplies, County Sheriff	2,236.85
US Bank Corporate Payment System	Equipment, Fuel, Meals, Juvenile Accountability	196.34
Verizon Wireless	Telephone Services, Reappraisal	40.01
Verizon Wireless	Telephone Services, County Extension	192.71
Verizon Wireless	Telephone Services, Juvenile Accountability	311.14
Verizon Wireless	Telephone Services, Noxious Weed	63.53
Verizon Wireless	Telephone Services, Courthouse	78.04
Volkman Plumbing & Heating	Repairs, Zoning Department	83.22
Volkman Plumbing & Heating	Repairs, Noxious Weed	83.23
June M Wagner	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Tammy L Watson	Jury Fees, District Court	116.00
Nicholas L Weich	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Western Office Technologies	Supplies, Zoning Department	8.29
Western Office Technologies	Supplies, Copier Contact, County Court	133.21

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

Western Office Technologies	Supplies, Equipment, Public Defender	888.15
Western Office Technologies	Supplies, Copier Contract, Reappraisal	120.52
Western Office Technologies	Supplies, County Sheriff	179.02
Western Office Technologies	Supplies, Juvenile Accountability	168.50
Wex Bank	Fuel, County Sheriff	563.90
Whitney's Sprinklers	Lawn Services, County Jail	50.00
Whitney's Sprinklers	Lawn Services, Courthouse	475.00
Bryce C Widhalm	Jury Fees, District Court	104.50
Rosemary Wiese	Jury Fees, District Court	143.60
Windshield Doctors	Repairs, County Sheriff	40.00
Zodiac Properties, LLC	Rent, Probation Office	10,210.84

## ROAD/BRIDGE FUND

Total Net Payroll		29,391.72
Albracht Disposal Service Inc.	Garbage Services	40.00
American Family Life Assurance Company	Insurance Premiums	492.89
Ameritas Life Insurance Corp.	Insurance Premiums	93.60
Appera	Towel Service	218.47
B's Enterprises Inc	Supplies, Culvert	3,339.42
Battle Creek Farmers Coop	Fuel, Repairs	10,736.35
Battle Creek Municipal Light & Power	Electrical Service	162.83
Black Hills Energy	Gas Service	141.90
Blue Cross Blue Shield of Nebraska	Insurance Premiums	44.88
Brady & Amy's Inc.	Fuel	287.19
City of Madison	Utilities	514.91
City of Newman Grove	Utilities	54.00
Clausen Air Heating & Cooling	Repairs	364.50
Colonial Life and Accident Insurance	Insurance Premiums	153.38
Connecting Point	Interne Service Contract	15.00
Constellation Newenergy Gas Division, LLC	Gas Service	103.46
Cornhusker International Trucks Inc.	Parts	114.66
D & M Machinery	Supplies	9.94
Johnson Engineering Company	Gravel	10,849.43
Dent Specialists, LLC	Repairs	18.00
Dinkel Implement Co.	Parts, Equipment Rental	1,697.73
Dirt Bag Inc.	Supplies	146.50
Eakes Office Plus	Supplies	40.51
Eastern Nebraska Telephone	Telephone Service	52.11
Electrical Engineering & Equipment	Parts	7.99
Elkhorn Paving Construction Co., Inc.	Contract Services	10,550.00
Fastenal Company	Parts	63.67
Field's Hardware	Supplies	38.66
First Concord Benefits Group	Flex Plan, Administration Fees, Deductible Buy Down	1,729.34
Goodwater Heating & A/C	Repairs	65.60
Graham Tire - Norfolk	Parts, Repairs	1,280.40
Green Line Equipment	Parts	61.43
Henkel Oin Co.	Supplies	64.00
Island Supply Welding Co.	Supplies	76.03
Johnson Engineering Company	Engineering Services	11,605.00
K & S Door Co./ Overhead Door	Repairs	86.00
Kelly Supply Company	Supplies	660.02
Ken's Trailer Sales & Repairs	Supplies	21.00
Kimball Midwest	Parts	117.31
Kohlhof Truck Repair Inc.	Repairs	1,502.21
Logan St. Radiator L.L.C.	Repairs	480.00
Long Trucking	Gravel Hauling	1,400.00
M & M Farm Supply	Parts	10.15
Madison County Clerk	Tax Deposit	10,785.68
Madison National Life Insurance Co Inc	Insurance Premiums	33.04
Mainelli Wagner & Associates, Inc.	Consulting Services	1,170.00
Matheson Tri-Gas Inc	Supplies	598.60
Mead Lumber & Rental	Supplies	43.82
Medical Enterprises Inc.	Medical Services	186.00
Meisinger Oil Company	Fuel	5,316.97
Menards	Supplies	86.48
Morrissey Motor Company	Parts	8.75
Nebraska Child Support Payment Center	Garnish of Wages	93.24
Nebraska Public Power District	Electrical Services	112.80
NMC Exchange L.L.C.	Parts	374.38
Norfolk Auto Center	Parts	1,300.86
Norfolk NAPA	Parts	1,735.13

# Commissioner's Record No. 24

MADISON COUNTY, NEBRASKA

---

Norfolk Transmission Muffler Service	Parts, Repairs	334.04
Northeast Machine & Manufacturing	Parts	65.00
Oncall Employee Health	Medical Services	130.00
Pioneer Telephone	Telephone Services	52.26
Prime Sanitation	Garbage Services	53.75
Quick Service Oil Co. Inc.	Fuel	119.58
RDO Trucking	Repairs	89.56
Red Bud Hardware Inc.	Supplies	149.08
Reigle Implement Co. Inc.	Repairs	198.86
Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas	Employee Share Retirement	1,810.50
Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas	Employer Share Retirement	2,715.88
Road Builders Machinery & Supply	Equipment Repairs	2,848.85
Ron Schmidt	Fuel	61.92
Steffen Inc.	Parts	573.70
Telebeep Wireless	Telephone Service	141.96
Truck Center Companies	Parts	232.23
Verizon Wireless	Cell Phone Services	170.91
Donald Volk	Mowing	600.00
Weldon Parts Inc.	Parts	1,988.51
White Star Oil Co.	Fuel	150.62
Zoubek Oil Company Inc.	Oil	71.40
FEDERAL AID SECONDARY FUND		
Johnson Engineering Company	Consulting Fees	7,150.00
Mainelli Wagner & Associates	Consulting Fees	3,800.88
VISITORS PROMOTION FUND		
Norfolk Area Visitor Bureau	Administration Fees	696.40
VISITORS IMPROVEMENT FUND		
Norfolk Area Visitor Bureau	Administration Fees	5,348.96
ROD Preservation & Modernization Fund		
MIPS Inc.	Data Processing	514.70
DRUG TESTING FUND		
National Patent Analytical Systems	Supplies	66.54
911 EMERGENCY FUND		
Eastern Nebraska Telephone	Telephone Service	127.86
Frontier	Telephone Service	164.10
LAW ENFORCEMENT OPERATING FUND		
Food Express	Supplies	151.20
Madison County Sheriff Inmate Fund	Supplies	564.01

Motion was made by Prauner and seconded by Schmidt to approve the claims as audited and authorize the County Clerk to issue checks for payment of said claims. Roll call vote: Ayes, Ohl, Prauner, and Schmidt. Nays, none. Motion carried.

The Board adjourned at 11:42 A.M. to Tuesday, December 1, 2015 at 9:30 A.M.

---

County Clerk Nancy Scheer

---

Christian Ohl, Chairman  
County Board of Commissioners