

COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

Madison, Nebraska

April 21, 2015

The Board of County Commissioners of Madison County, Nebraska met in regular session at 9:30 A.M.

Advance notice of meeting was published in the Thursday, April 16, 2015 edition of The Norfolk Daily News and posted on the Madison County website. A copy of said notice was mailed to each Board member. An affidavit of acknowledgment of receipt of notice of meeting as published was executed.

Following a moment of silence and the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, Vice-Chairman Jim Prauner called the meeting to order and notified the public of the copy of the Open Meetings Act posted in the meeting room.

Present: Commissioners Jim Prauner and Ron Schmidt, and County Clerk Nancy Scheer. Planning and Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter was present until 9:42 A.M. County Weed Superintendent Don Svitak was present until 10:53 A.M. County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson was present until 11:08 A.M. Road Foremen Don Eucker and Jeff Schroeder were present until 11:30 A.M. Norfolk Daily News reporter Jerry Guenther, US 92 reporter Eric McKay, and WJAG reporter Susan Risinger were present until 10:50 A.M.. Absent: Commissioner Christian Ohl.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to remove the following items from the consent agenda: Item #9 for award of a contract for supplying asphaltic materials for road construction and maintenance; and Item #10 for award of a contract for application of armor coat surfacing and aggregate sweeping. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

The following consent agenda items were considered:

- 1) Approval of minutes of the April 7, 2015 meeting
- 2) Award of contract in the amount of \$24,435 to the low bidder, T & D Rural Striping of Norfolk, Nebraska, for centerline and edge line striping of county roads
- 3) Approval of Eudell H. Feldmann's Lot Split in Section 15-23-4, which is located approximately 3 miles south and 2 miles west of the Village of Meadow Grove on 838th Road
- 4) Approval of Oswalds Lot Split in Section 27-22-1, which is located approximately 3 miles east and 2½ miles north of the City of Madison
- 5)

RESOLUTION #2015-11

BE IT RESOLVED, that the withdrawal by Bank First, Norfolk, Nebraska of the following securities, held in safekeeping by Union Bank and Trust Company, Lincoln, Nebraska, to secure deposits of the county in said bank, to wit:

| | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| FREDDIE MAC | Union Bank & Trust Company |
| Receipt Date: 4/14/2014 | Lincoln, Nebraska |
| Receipt Number: 6029 | Maturity Date: 07/10/2017 |
| Cusip Number: 3134G4YL6 | \$1,000,000.00 |
| Rate: 1.125% | |

and the substitution of the following securities be and the same hereby are approved:

| | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGATE CORP | Union Bank & Trust Company |
| Receipt Date: 2/18/2015 | Lincoln, Nebraska |
| Receipt Number: 6045 | Maturity Date: 8/18/2017 |
| Cusip Number: 3134G6CN1 | \$1,000,000.00 |
| Rate: 1.000% | |

6)

RESOLUTION #2015-12

BE IT RESOLVED, that the withdrawal by Midwest Bank, N.A., Pierce, Nebraska of the following securities held in safekeeping by Midwest Independent Bank, Jefferson City, Missouri, to secure deposits of the county in said bank, to wit:

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| DOUGLAS CNTY NEB SAN & IMPT | Midwest Independent Bank |
| Receipt Date: 03/04/2011 | Jefferson City, Missouri |
| Receipt Number: JC50783 | Maturity Date: 04/15/2017 |
| Cusip: 25930JAG5 | \$140,000.00 |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| DOUGLAS CNTY NEB SAN & IMPT | Midwest Independent Bank |
| Receipt Date: 11/04/2010 | Jefferson City, Missouri |
| Receipt Number: JC50786 | Maturity Date: 04/15/2023 |
| Cusip: 25930JAN0 | \$100,000.00 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| NORTH CENTRAL NEB PUB PWR DIST | Midwest Independent Bank |
| Receipt Date: 08/12/2014 | Jefferson City, Missouri |
| Receipt Number: JC50959 | Maturity Date: 10/15/2022 |
| Cusip: 658518FP5 | \$120,000.00 |

and the substitution of the following securities be and the same hereby are approved:

| | |
|--|---|
| LOUP VYS RURAL PUB PWR Receipt Date: 04/01/2015 Receipt Number: JC65579 Cusip Number: 547118CT4 | Midwest Independent Bank Jefferson City, Missouri Maturity Date: 09/15/2025 \$140,000.00 |
| SARPY CNTY NEB SAN + IM Receipt Date: 04/01/2015 Receipt Number: JC63386 Cusip Number: 80377XBL7 | Midwest Independent Bank Jefferson City, Missouri Maturity Date: 10/15/2020 \$100,000.00 |
| NORTH CENTRAL NEB PUB PWR DIST Receipt Date: 04/01/2015 Receipt Number: JC62167 Cusip Number: 658518JA4 | Midwest Independent Bank Jefferson City, Missouri Maturity Date: 10/15/2025 \$120,000.00 |

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to approve the consent agenda as amended. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to approve the regular agenda as amended. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

Pursuant to published legal notice in the Wednesday, April 8, 2015 edition of The Norfolk Daily News, Vice-Chairman Prauner opened a public hearing at 9:34 A.M. to receive citizens' comments regarding the conditional use permit application of Loren Bosler to add 600 head of cattle to property located in Section 24-23-1, which is located approximately 3 miles south of the City of Norfolk and 2½ miles east of Highway 81.

Planning and Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter read the finding of facts and proposed conditions that were unanimously approved by the Joint Planning Commission. She explained that the applicant requested an unlimited amount of time for the permit subject to successfully passing the annual review. She said that the application is for a medium animal feeding operation up to 950 head and she recommended issuance of the conditional use permit.

The applicant, Loren Bosler, explained that he wants to expand his current operation from 150 feeders and 200 cow/calves to add 600 new head to 950 head capacity. He reported that all the manure will be applied back to his row crop acres with very little use of the County roads.

Vice-Chairman Prauner asked how many acres Mr. Bosler had to spread the manure and Mr. Bosler responded that he has a total of 480 acres close to the feeding operation.

No one testified in opposition to the conditional use permit application.

Vice-Chairman Prauner closed the hearing at 9:36 A.M. He commented that Madison County is an agricultural county and Mr. Bosler has the only house within one quarter mile of the feeding operation. He stated that the size of the operation in today's standards isn't overly large compared to some feedlot operations which have 15,000 head of cattle.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that the increased number of livestock would be a good addition since the County is agricultural. He thanked Mr. Bosler for investing in Madison County.

After discussion, the following resolution was introduced:

RESOLUTION #2015-13

A RESOLUTION GRANTING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR CERTAIN LAND LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF MADISON, NEBRASKA UNDER AUTHORITY GRANTED BY THE MADISON COUNTY ZONING AND SUBDIVISION RESOLUTION AND LEGISLATIVE BILL 186 (1978)

WHEREAS, the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and the Madison County Board of Commissioners, having given proper notice and holding public hearings as provided by law and under the authority of and subject to the provisions of the 2003 Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Resolution and Legislative Bill 186 (1978).

WHEREAS, the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and the Madison County Board of Commissioners have established the following Statement of Finding of Facts:

1. The application is consistent with the Madison County Comprehensive Plan.
2. The application is consistent with the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Regulations.
3. This parcel of land is currently in use as agricultural and is zoned AG1- Ag Intensive.
4. Mr. Bosler currently has 150 Feeders and 200 Cows/Calves and would like to add 600 new head for a total of approximately 950 head.
5. Mr. Bosler has indicated he does have a current permit from DEQ for this operation.
6. The manure would be applied to the surrounding 270 acres of row crop.
7. The feedlot setback for a 950 head operation is 1,320 feet from the nearest non-farm single family dwelling. The only home within the setback is owned by the applicant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Madison County, Nebraska, hereby grants a Conditional Use Permit to Lauren Bosler to allow for the addition of 600 head of cattle under Section 402, "AG1" Intensive Agriculture, Permitted Conditional Uses, Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Resolution, on the following described land:

NW¼ NE¼ in Section 24, Township 23 North, Range 1 West of the 6th P.M., Madison County, Nebraska

This Conditional Use Permit shall be subject to the following conditions in addition to those established with the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision regulations:

1. This is a Medium Animal Feeding Operation Conditional Use Permit for an operation of up to 950 head equivalent of cattle.
2. This Conditional Use Permit shall be for an unlimited amount of time subject to successfully passing an annual review of the Madison County Livestock Feeding Operation Siting Matrix, implementing the additional conditions of this permit, and will become effective with the adoption of this resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that upon taking effect of this Resolution, the above Conditional Use Permit shall be entered and shown of the Official Zoning Map of Madison County, previously adopted by reference, and said zoning map is hereby reincorporated as a part of the Madison County, Nebraska Zoning and Subdivision Resolution as amended.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner that the foregoing resolution be adopted. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

Pursuant to published legal notice in the Wednesday, April 8, 2015 edition of The Norfolk Daily News, Vice-Chairman Prauner opened a public hearing at 9:38 A.M. to receive citizens' comments regarding the conditional use permit application of Marx Repair L.L.C. to display machinery on property located in Section 30-24-2, which is located approximately 1½ miles north of the City of Battle Creek along Highway 275.

Planning and Zoning Administrator Heather McWhorter read the finding of facts and proposed conditions that were unanimously approved by the Joint Planning Commission. She recommended approval of the conditional use permit application.

The applicant, Bill Marx, explained that he purchased the property located at the intersection of Highways 121 and 275 north of Battle Creek. He stated that he has set a few items at this location and has received numerous phone calls. He reported that the corner has been an eye sore for the 15 years that he has lived in the area. He explained that he plans to fix the house up, clean up the property, and make it look nice. He explained that he wants to put a one span pivot, running north and south, on the property and a few tractors with a sign. He stated that he will have nice equipment at this location and not a junk yard. Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that the property is already cleaned up from its prior condition.

No one testified in opposition to the conditional use permit application.

Vice-Chairman Prauner closed the hearing at 9:41 A.M.

Commissioner Schmidt said he has security concerns at this location. He asked if someone would be living in the house and Mr. Marx responded that he plans to have someone living in the house and he will give a break on the rent in return for keeping an eye on the equipment. He reported that the entire corner is covered by cameras provided by the Nebraska Department of Roads. Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that the weather at this location is monitored by the State and the corner is well lit. He asked if all requirements were approved by the State and Mr. Marx responded affirmative.

After discussion, the following resolution was introduced:

RESOLUTION #2015-14

A RESOLUTION GRANTING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR CERTAIN LAND LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF MADISON, NEBRASKA UNDER AUTHORITY GRANTED BY THE MADISON COUNTY ZONING AND SUBDIVISION RESOLUTION AND LEGISLATIVE BILL 186 (1978)

WHEREAS, the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and the Madison County Board of Commissioners, having given proper notice and holding public hearings as provided by law and under the authority of and subject to the provisions of the 2003 Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Resolution and Legislative Bill 186 (1978).

WHEREAS, the Madison County Joint Planning Commission and the Madison County Board of Commissioners have established the following Statement of Finding of Facts:

1. The application is consistent with the Madison County Comprehensive Plan.
2. The application is consistent with the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Regulations.
3. This parcel of land is currently zoned AT- Ag Transitional.
4. Mr. Marx would like to display Ag equipment such as a small corner pivot and/or tractor with a "for sale" sign attached.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Madison County, Nebraska, hereby grants a Conditional Use Permit to Marx Repair LLC to display machinery under Section 404: AT: Agricultural Transition District, Permitted Conditional Uses, (4). Farm and Industrial equipment sales, Madison County Zoning and Subdivision Resolution, on the following described land:

Part of the SW ¼ Section 30, Township 24 North, Range 2 West of the 6th P.M., Madison County, Nebraska

This Conditional Use Permit shall be subject to the following conditions in addition to those established with the Madison County Zoning and Subdivision regulations:

1. Any equipment displayed must remain at least 50 feet from the highway right-of-way at all times to meet all setback requirements in the Madison County Zoning Regulations.
2. Any sign displayed must meet Madison County Zoning Regulations, Article 6: Supplemental Regulations, Sections 609-614.
3. Display shall be limited to five items at any given time.
4. It shall be the applicant's responsibility to mow and remove weeds in the area surrounding the displayed items.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that upon taking effect of this Resolution, the above Conditional Use Permit shall be entered and shown of the Official Zoning Map of Madison County, previously adopted by reference, and said zoning map is hereby reincorporated as a part of the Madison County, Nebraska Zoning and Subdivision Resolution as amended.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner that the foregoing resolution be adopted. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

Board members discussed the award of a contract for supplying asphaltic materials for road construction and maintenance. Commissioner Schmidt questioned what level of oil is applied during the armor coat surfacing. County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson responded that the armor coat specifications require a range between .17 and .33 gallons per square yard which are the specifications used by the Nebraska Department of Roads.

Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that during his previous service on the County Board, the County would apply between .20 and .25 of an inch, which isn't much oil, but that amount will seal all the cracks. He explained that sometimes gravel doesn't get in all the areas if too much oil is applied and this causes a problem. Commissioner Schmidt stated that if one company applies .15 of an inch versus .25 of an inch that is a big issue and even though the bid is less, maybe the County is getting more, so this is the question he would like addressed. Vice-Chairman Prauner responded that Sta-Bilt Construction Company saves time by applying oil in a 24 foot strip, which is one pass on the road, and the other companies only apply oil on half of the road at a time and make two passes. Commissioner Schmidt questioned if Sta-Bilt will apply .17 gallons per square yard or use the high rate. He explained that the range from .17 to .33 gallons per square yard is a big difference and if Sta-bilt Construction Company uses a lesser amount and another company is bidding the higher rate, the County doesn't have an idea what the difference is between the bids, so it would be nice if a representative from the companies were in attendance.

County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson explained that the amount of oil used varies depending upon the road. He reported that the County armor coats new hot mix which takes less oil than roads that are fairly dry and would take more oil. He stated that the amount of oil used is a judgment call on the part of the contractor or the employee designated by the County to watch the armor coat project. He reported that the specifications require the contractor to apply a test strip 10 feet in length to determine the amount of coverage.

Commissioner Schmidt asked if the County has someone watching the armor coat application process to make sure that the project is completed to the County's satisfaction. County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson responded that he doesn't watch the armor coat application process, but last year Assistant County Highway Superintendent Dave Heggemeyer watched the process. He recommended that the County have an employee watching the application process and Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that each road district should have an employee assigned to oversee application of armor coat. Mr. Johnson stated that if the contractor uses .17 gallons when more is needed, the final product will not be good; however, if .33 gallons is used, that may be too much on certain roads. He explained that the County shouldn't change the specifications; however, someone representing the County should be oversee the work to determine the right amount of coverage. Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that the County also needs to determine if too much gravel is being applied. He stated that new oil products allow gravel to be applied almost immediately after the oil is applied.

County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson explained that the contractor has agreed to apply oil between the range required in the contract and if the County says the oil should be applied at the high range, the contractor must comply. In addition, he explained that conversely, if the County wants oil applied at the low range, the contractor must also comply. He reported that the specifications allow a range of oil to be applied and it is there for a reason. He explained that the bidders are aware of the requirements in the specifications.

Vice-Chairman Prauner explained that if Commissioner Schmidt and foreman Don Eucker observe the armor coat application, they have the right to request the contractor to adjust the amount of oil applied. Commissioner Schmidt stated that they will be on the job site during the armor coat application process because it is a costly project. He stated that when the specifications allow contractors to apply the oil rate at their discretion, someone from the County needs to be watching.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to award a contract to the low bidder, Jebro, Inc., for supplying asphaltic materials for road construction and maintenance from May 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

The Board discussed award of a contract for application of armor coat surfacing and aggregate sweeping to approximately 58 miles of county roads. County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson explained that the specifications for the armor coat project include a map that reflects the miles the County wants surfaced. He reported that the County also bids sweeping of the roads because even though the County normally sweeps the roads, if the County is unable to complete all the sweeping, a price is set for a contractor to complete the work.

Commissioner Schmidt questioned if the contractor understands that if the County sweeps only a portion of the roads, the contractor will complete the balance of the sweeping. County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson responded that this is a risk that the contractor takes when submitting a bid. He explained that the specifications provide that the County reserves the right to sweep any or all miles. Commissioner Schmidt said that he wants to make sure that if the County only has a few miles for the contractor to sweep that the cost doesn't go up.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to award a contract to the low bidder, Sta-Bilt Construction Company, for application of armor coat surfacing and aggregate sweeping to approximately 58 miles of county road. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

Vice-Chairman Prauner read the following bids received for armor coat gravel and road gravel for the 2015-2016 fiscal year:

| | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Armor Coat Gravel: | Matteo Sand and Gravel | \$16.20/cubic yard | \$.035 adjustment per cubic yard for fuel prices |
| | Willow Creek Sand & Gravel | \$13.25/cubic yard | \$.050 adjustment per cubic yard for fuel prices |
| Road Gravel: | Matteo Sand and Gravel | \$16.20/cubic yard | \$.035 adjustment per cubic yard for fuel prices |
| | Willow Creek Sand & Gravel | \$10.00/cubic yard | \$.050 adjustment per cubic yard for fuel prices |

Vice-Chairman Prauner reported that Willow Creek Sand & Gravel does not have large amounts of sand and gravel and Road District #3 is the closest area to haul from this pit, so it would be a good idea to sign a contract with this company for supplying armor coat gravel for District #3. Commissioner Schmidt reported that he spoke with a representative from Willow Creek Sand & Gravel because he was concerned if the company could supply the entire county and the Willow Creek representative stated that there was a slight chance that they could process enough armor coat gravel for the whole county, but it was not very likely. He reported that 1,700 yards required by Road District #3 could be supplied; however, above that amount Willow Creek wasn't sure they could supply. Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that Matteo Sand & Gravel has been able to supply armor coat gravel most of the time. He reported that sometimes Matteo Sand & Gravel has been out of road gravel during the summer months because sometimes there are four or five counties hauling from this gravel pit and this is the reason that District #3 has been going to Columbus since early spring since Matteo had not started pumping.

County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson stated that he was surprised at how high the bid amount was from Matteo Sand & Gravel. He said that the County is trucking a fair amount of gravel from Columbus and it is probably cheaper than purchasing gravel from Matteo Sand & Gravel. Vice-Chairman Prauner estimated that the cost of the gravel being hauled from Columbus costs the County approximately \$12.00 per yard. Mr. Johnson recommended that after considering the current circumstance and the bids submitted, the County should reject all bids and buy gravel on the open market.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that when he spoke to a representative from Willow Creek Sand & Gravel, he explained that there is a chance that the County would reject all bids and they assured him that even if the County rejected all bids, the price quoted during the bidding process would be honored without a contract. He stated that it appears that the County should reject all bids since the companies can't supply the entire quantities required by the County. He reported that a Matteo Sand & Gravel representative said they would have enough gravel for Road Districts #1 and #2. He stated that he calculated that there is \$115 difference in price per load between gravel purchased at Matteo Sand & Gravel and gravel hauled from a Columbus pit. He stated that it costs between \$125 and \$130 per load for the County to make a round trip to Columbus, plus the County can haul 8 or 9 loads from the Matteo Sand & Gravel pit each day compared to 4 loads per day that can be hauled from the Columbus pit. In addition, he said that when there is no gravel at the Matteo Sand & Gravel pit, the County needs to haul from a Columbus pit. He said that this is a case of supply and demand where Columbus has plenty of gravel so the price is lower, but there is a shortage of gravel here so companies can demand a higher price. Vice-Chairman Prauner explained that Columbus has four pits, which makes a lot of difference on the price charged.

Motion was made by Prauner and seconded by Schmidt to reject all armor coat gravel and road gravel bids and purchase gravel on the open market.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that Matteo Sand & Gravel is trying to do as much as they can to pump gravel, but there are so many people hauling from the pit that sometimes the company can't keep up with the demand. He stated that at the current time the roads seem to be in good shape, but all it takes is a really bad rain and the roads can deteriorate quickly. Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that he feels let down when the County needs to spend the taxpayers' money out of the County, but if gravel isn't available, the County needs to purchase gravel where it's available.

Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

Vice-Chairman Prauner reported that agenda items #20 and #21, construction options for Projects No. ER-2895(2), Meadow Grove North, and ER-2915(3), Battle Creek North, are projects related to the 2010 flood. He explained that these projects are not completed and County representatives have talked at length with the Nebraska Department of Roads (NDOR). He stated that it has been five years since the flood and his main concern is about 120 feet off the bridge north of Meadow Grove that is not surfaced yet, but remains gravel, and the County has been doing a lot of maintenance on this section of road. He explained that the road carries a lot of truck traffic and constituents are very unhappy. He stated that the County is trying to get the NDOR to do something.

County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson reported that NDOR submitted several project cost estimates and the option chosen by the County has a cost estimate of \$337,000. He explained that the project consists of paving 125 feet of the approach road coming from the north abutment which washed out in 2010. He reported that there was a dike along the north riverbank which totally washed out and is gone. He explained that the river moved north approximately 50 to 60 feet during the flood and the dike was built with federal aid funds in the mid to late 1960s. Mr. Johnson explained that in his opinion, the dike is necessary, and the best option is to put the dike along the riverbank to protect it because it doesn't make sense to fill in 50 feet of the river and then build the dike in its original location. He reported that the main issue with the Meadow Grove project is the fact that there has been a gravel approach to the bridge for the last five years. He explained that it will cost between \$20,000 and \$25,000 to pave the bridge approach. Mr. Johnson reported that the County asked NDOR if the paving portion of the project could be removed and completed by the County. He explained that his main concern is that the Federal Highway Administration may say that if the paving portion is removed from the project, it may jeopardize receipt of federal funds for the entire project. He said that NDOR is checking the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document. He explained that this project was originally an ER project with the money to come from federal emergency funds. He explained that emergency projects must be completed in four years to get the funds, so NDOR has changed the project to the Surface Transportation Program (STP), so funding is still available. Mr. Johnson said that he realizes that the County wants to get the road paved as quickly as possible; however, his recommendation is to make sure that the County doesn't endanger the remainder of the federal aid for the project by proceeding without approval by NDOR.

Vice-Chairman Prauner recommended that the County send a letter to Governor Pete Ricketts to expedite the project. He said that he is getting tired of the bridge approach being gravel when it should have been repaired a long time ago.

Weed Superintendent Don Svitak reported that he spoke to NDOR representatives and they are always waiting on someone else to make a decision. Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that the County has the equipment, staff, and the County can buy the concrete, so he doesn't have a problem with the County doing the work. In addition, he said that if NDOR keeps delaying the project, he will probably proceed by having the County pave the bridge approach.

County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson explained that several years ago, the Federal Highway Administration told NDOR that they were doing everything wrong. He stated that NDOR is representing the Federal Highway Administration, not its constituents, so they started imposing many requirements on the counties, one which was to require the County to have a County employee serve as a Responsible Charge to be the County's overseer on the federal aid projects. He reported that Don Svitak serves as Madison County's Responsible Charge person and went to training and has been involved with this project. Mr. Johnson explained that a couple years ago NDOR decided that the counties aren't doing a good job of completing the Responsible Charge duties, so NDOR decided to take over these duties. He stated that many counties paid for employees to be trained and now the Responsible Charge duties will soon be removed from the County. He reported that to date, \$95,000 has been spent on engineering and environmental work for Meadow Grove North which is a project that has total estimated cost of \$330,000, which is not good. Commissioner Schmidt asked if the \$95,000 of costs need to be paid even if the project doesn't get completed and County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson responded that the County pays 20% of the costs. Mr. Johnson explained that another concern is if the County will get a bill from NDOR for all their costs if the project doesn't get built because then the County would be responsible for paying the entire \$95,000 expense plus other costs such as easements.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that different alternatives provided for the Meadow Grove North project range in cost from \$255,000 to \$411,600. He stated that the bridge approach needs to be paved; however, he questioned if the dike needs to be done and if the work must be bid or if the County can complete the work. County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson responded that the project needs to be bid. He stated that four options apply to both Meadow Grove North and Battle Creek North projects. He explained that the County must have a purpose and needs statement where the County details the purpose of the project and for the Meadow Grove North project the needs statement probably was to protect the bridge and riverbank. He stated that the next step requires the County to develop alternatives and during this phase of Meadow Grove North project four options were developed. He explained that the test for the alternatives is to use the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative. Mr. Johnson further explained that the process includes evaluating options to determine if they meet the purpose of the needs and then analyze how it may damage the environment, such as how the environment would be affected by filling in wetlands, changing a channel, putting rip rap in a channel, or affecting birds in their natural habitat. He stated that they look at which options would have the least amount of impact on the environment and then analyze the practicability. He explained that this measured in project costs; so, if a project costs \$200,000 and will impact wetlands and there is a \$500,000 project that takes care of the purpose and needs, but won't impact the wetland, this is over 2½ on the practicability so the Corp of Engineers can use this information to justify issuing a permit for the higher impact. He stated that this is the reason that there are alternatives on both projects. Mr. Johnson stated that, depending upon the amount of impact, they try to get a project to fall under a nationwide permit because if the project meets the threshold, the Corp talks to the other agencies and if they don't get any negative feedback, they issue a permit with stipulations attached. He explained that the other permit type is an individual permit, which typically has a 90 day notice period, including publication of a notice and mailing notices to many agencies to give them time to respond. He reported that the responses are evaluated and a decision is made regarding issuing a permit.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that on the Meadow Grove North project, the biggest difference is how much rip rap rock is allowed. Mr. Johnson responded that they decided to have a 4 foot berm, which costs \$100,000 more, but the 4 foot height is closer to what was originally there. He explained that if the County gets the same flood as occurred in 2010, it will cause additional damage; however, if there is another flood that isn't as high, the berm should protect the bridge.

Commissioner Schmidt stated that the highest cost is if the County doesn't use much rock, but uses more steel and Mr. Johnson responded that this option will work. Vice-Chairman Prauner asked if the two projects are inter-twined and Mr. Johnson responded that they are two separate projects, but both are under the same funding mechanism with the NDOR doing the consultant work. Mr. Johnson recommended that a letter be sent to Governor Ricketts asking the County to proceed with the paving on Meadow Grove North project, if approval is received from NDOR.

Motion was made by Prauner and seconded by Schmidt to send a letter to Governor Ricketts on Project No. ER-2895(2), Meadow Grove North, advising that the project is five years old and the road is not completed, requesting assistance to speed up the process, and asking for permission to allow the County to pave the road without jeopardizing federal funding for the remainder of the project.

Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson reported that there are several alternatives to meet the requirements for Project Number ER-2915(3), Battle Creek North. He explained that on the north bank the alternatives are to put rip rap out from the ends of the bridge and re-build the berm that was originally under the bridge. He said that the other alternative is to build sheet pile out from the ends of the bridge, tie it back along the bank, and do nothing under the bridge. Mr. Johnson reported that typically on a river bridge there would be a berm coming out to protect the abutment and that was lost in the flood and, with the sheet pile alternative, nothing would be done under the bridge to protect it. He explained that on the south side, the solution is rip rap, but the question becomes whether it runs along the existing riverbank which will have more impact to wetlands, but probably would function better in the long run, or they go back in the uplands, dig a trench as close to the water line as possible, fill that with rip rap, and cover it up. He stated that if the river comes up, it would eat the bank away, hit the rip rap, the rip rap would fall into the river, and everything would be okay. He explained that the rip rap on the north side and the rip rap along the bank on the south side would probably require an individual permit, which is a longer process and would not get done in 2015. He reported that the estimated cost of this alternative is \$250,000. Mr. Johnson reported that the NDOR preferred alternative is sheet pile holding the bank back on the north side and nothing under the bridge, and rip rap in the uplands on the south side, which has an estimated cost of \$408,000. He explained that this has a factor of 1.6 on project costs. He stated that the problem is that the sheet pile option on the north side doesn't really meet the purpose and need, which is to protect the bridge. He said that the river will be right up against the berm and the chances are good that the bridge was designed assuming that the berm would be in place, which it was for 40 years, but one option is to reduce the length of the sheet piling off the back wall. He explained that the sheet piling is not as long and the berm is not there, so the chances are better to get scour under the sheet piling and back behind the bridge. Mr. Johnson reported that the alternative NDOR wants would require a nationwide permit and NDOR thinks the project could be built this year. He stated that the County's cost is 20% and the cost to date is approximately \$90,000 in engineering costs with more to come. He said that, in his opinion, sheet pile is a solution on the north side and on the south side he would like to see rip rap down by the river. He explained that if the rip rap is moved back, NDOR will require the County to remove a section from a center pivot. He stated that this will cost extra money and the landowner would probably need to be reimbursed for the area that doesn't get irrigated.

County Weed Superintendent Don Svitak stated that any plan will probably require some modification to the center pivot. Vice-Chairman Prauner reported that about 12 or 13 years ago, the County put five jetties on the south side and the bank was stable for a long time until the 2010 flood. He stated that the river is trying to get back into its own channel.

Mr. Johnson reported that on the edges of the road there was significant damage typically received from water and NDOR wanted to repair the edges with concrete. Mr. Svitak explained that the intent was to repair the edge of the road with a 3 foot wide, 8 inch deep strip of concrete; however, after this option was analyzed, NDOR decided that this was not a good repair. He said that he suggested a 4 inch overlay and NDOR said no so they suggested up to a 2 inch overlay. Commissioner Schmidt asked why NDOR wouldn't complete a 4 inch overlay and Mr. Svitak responded that anything over a 2 inch overlay is declared new construction instead of repair. Mr. Johnson reported that NDOR won't pay for a 2 inch overlay either; however, he suggested that NDOR do a 4 inch overlay with the County to pay this cost. He explained that the County would need to complete an overlay in the near future and it makes more sense to complete the 4 inch overlay as part of this project. In addition, he explained that part of the Battle Creek road project included the County applying armor coat to the road after the ER project was done.

Vice-Chairman Prauner explained that NDOR wanted to put concrete on the outside of the road and leave the middle oil and he questioned how to maintain a road like that. Mr. Johnson said that he suggested a 4 inch overlay and NDOR responded that if more than 2 inch overlay is applied, then it's considered new construction and must be completed to standard which includes building shoulders. He stated that a 2 inch overlay won't be very good, even though it is better than what NDOR proposed. Vice-Chairman Prauner asked if the County could add 2 extra inches after NDOR completes a 2 inch overlay. Mr. Johnson responded that after the ER project is completed, the County could do whatever it wants with the road; however, he said he wouldn't recommend adding the additional 2 inch overlay as part of the ER project. Vice-Chairman Prauner said that the additional 2 inch overlay wouldn't need to be completed during the same year because NDOR wants to bid the project in 2015. Mr. Johnson explained that NDOR's ultimate goal is get both of the ER projects completed since they started five years ago and it's rather embarrassing.

Mr. Johnson asked if he should pursue the use of rip rap on the north bank and rip rap along the water's edge on the south bank or if the County wanted to proceed with a NDOR alternative. He explained that if the County proceeds with a plan that requires an individual permit and the permit doesn't get approved, the County could fall back to a nationwide permit. Commissioner Schmidt responded that he is concerned about using sheet piling because the original sheet piling is gone. He stated that the alternative for using sheet piling has an estimated cost of \$860,000 and he asked what the point of using this option would be if the sheet piling may get washed out. Mr. Johnson responded that no one wants to use this alternative.

Vice-Chairman Prauner suggested pursuing Mr. Johnson's recommendation of using rip rap on the bridge and rip rap on the river bank. Mr. Johnson responded that this is alternative 1 and is the lowest priced option with an estimated cost of \$376,819.97. Commissioner Schmidt stated that there would possibly be extra costs for shortening the pivot with any alternative selected. Mr. Johnson responded that it doesn't make sense that a pivot can't spray over rip rap that is covered with dirt and should have grass growing in it. Commissioner Schmidt responded that when chemigation is used the pivot can't spray into the river. Mr. Svitak explained that pivots should not spray into a road ditch and NDOR views the burm the same as a road ditch. Commissioner Schmidt reported that when insecticide is used during chemigation, fish may be killed if the pivot sprays into the river. He asked what height the burm would be and Mr. Svitak responded that the height varies with 3 feet on the west side up to a height of 5 feet further east. Mr. Svitak explained that his request to increase the burm height with NDOR was denied and his request to push the burm into the river was also denied. He stated that the original plan was to keep the burm one foot above the existing field and the compromise was to have the burm one foot above ground level to match the existing height. Commissioner Schmidt stated that when you look to the northwest and the river is coming right at you, it doesn't make sense to lower the burm more than it is to the west. He said that this appears to be a weak point and doesn't make sense to him.

Vice-Chairman Prauner stated that 10 to 15 years ago he had planned to remove the ox-bow from the river. He said that the ox-bow causes many problems because if the corner would gently sweep around there wouldn't be many problems, but it takes a big wide bend and shoots the water onto the south bank. He stated that NDOR wants to bid this project in 2015. Mr. Johnson explained that if the project gets revised like the County wants, the project won't get bid this year, but the end result will be better.

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to authorized County Highway Superintendent Richard Johnson to pursue the rip rap option on both the north and south side of the river. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

Mr. Johnson asked if he should push for a 4 inch overlay if the County adds a shoulder to the road. Commissioner Schmidt asked if this would be a budget issue and if it would be better to put 4 inches on the Battle Creek road or put 2 inches on the Battle Creek road and put 2 inches on another road. Mr. Johnson responded that the cost would need to be budgeted in the next fiscal year. Commissioner Schmidt stated that he is aware that this is a well used road; however, there are other well used roads in the County. Mr. Johnson stated that a 4 inch overlay will be much better than applying 2 inch overlay at two different times. He said that a 2 inch overlay will only cover up some problems and make the road look good for several years. He stated that there is a good chance that problems with a 2 inch overlay will come right back. He said he would rather see the County spend the money and complete the overlay correctly the first time. No further action was taken.

Motion was made by Prauner and seconded by Schmidt to deny a claim payable to Madison County 7th Judicial District Bar Association in the amount of \$150.00 for payment of 3 meals at the Bar Association December 17, 2014 party. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

The following written reports were reviewed:

- 1) County Clerk March fee report
- 2) County Treasurer fund balance report
- 3) Register of Deeds March fee report
- 4) County Attorney's Juvenile Services Center March attendance report

The following claims were audited:

| GENERAL FUND | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|
| Total Net Payroll | | 130,146.44 |
| Advanced Correctional Healthcare | Medical Services, County Jail | 10,222.87 |
| Ag Spray Equipment | Parts, Noxious Weed | 95.34 |
| Mark Albin | Legal Services, County Court | 3,800.67 |
| Ronald Albin | Legal Services, County Court | 761.40 |
| Albracht Disposal Service | Garbage Service, Public Defender | 41.00 |
| Albracht Disposal Service | Garbage Service, Courthouse | 94.00 |
| Albracht Disposal Service | Garbage Service, County Jail | 77.50 |
| Phillis Allison | Repairs, County Jail | 50.29 |
| American Family Life Assurance Company | Insurance Premiums | 732.09 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| Ameritas Life Insurance | Insurance Premiums | 365.76 |
| Appeara | Mat Service, Public Defender | 28.18 |
| AS Central Services | Data Processing, County Clerk | 52.00 |
| AS Central Services | Data Processing, County Treasurer | 120.20 |
| AS Central Services | Data Processing, County Jail | 32.00 |
| Assembled Products Corporation | Equipment, County Sheriff | 1,807.17 |
| Kathy Baker, LMHP | Services, Juvenile Diversion | 240.00 |
| Battle Creek Enterprise | Publication Costs, Planning and Zoning | 33.04 |
| BI Incorporated | Equipment, Monitoring Services, Juvenile Diversion | 1,349.95 |
| Big Red Printing | Supplies, District Court | 471.21 |
| Black Hills Energy | Gas Service, Courthouse | 248.55 |
| Black Hills Energy | Gas Service, County Jail | 477.79 |
| Blue Cross Blue Shield of Nebraska | Insurance Premiums | 1,579.26 |
| Brandl Electric | Services, Courthouse | 192.66 |
| Brogan & Gray, P.C., L.L.O. | Legal Services, County Court | 787.15 |
| Cableone | Internet Services, Public Defender | 56.50 |
| Cableone | Internet Services, Probation | 218.50 |
| Cableone | Internet Services, Courthouse | 218.50 |
| Cash-Wa Distributing | Supplies, County Jail | 24.83 |
| CBM Managed Services | Meals, County Jail | 6,701.82 |
| Century Link | Telephone Service, County Jail | 17.66 |
| Century Link | Telephone Service, Probation | 625.87 |
| Choice Foods | Meal, Law Enforcement | 9.78 |
| City of Madison | Utilities, County Jail | 4,020.83 |
| City of Norfolk | Utilities, Probation | 36.61 |
| Colonial Life and Accident Insurance | Insurance Premiums | 306.36 |
| Complete Pest Elimination, Inc. | Pest Control, County Jail | 125.00 |
| Connecting Point | Services, County Treasurer | 105.00 |
| Connecting Point | Equipment, Data Processing | 22.00 |
| Connecting Point | Services, Reappraisal | 105.00 |
| Connecting Point | Equipment, Services, County Sheriff | 4,429.50 |
| Connecting Point | Services, Supplies, County Attorney | 144.00 |
| Connecting Point | Internet Services, Juvenile Diversion | 59.95 |
| Connecting Point | Services, County Jail | 147.50 |
| Connecting Point | Equipment, Probation | 540.00 |
| Connecting Point | Equipment, Noxious Weed | 366.00 |
| Connecting Point | Internet Services, Veterans' Service Office | 38.95 |
| Connecting Point | Contract Services, Storage, Courthouse | 2,986.30 |
| Consolidated Management Company | Meals, County Sheriff | 9.50 |
| Copycraft Printing | Uniforms, County Jail | 46.20 |
| Culligan | Drinking Water Service, Juvenile Diversion | 14.65 |
| Dakota County Court | Copies, Law Enforcement | 3.50 |
| Dakota County District Court | Copies, Law Enforcement | 2.75 |
| Digital Ally, Inc. | Equipment, County Sheriff | 4,620.00 |
| Discount Dent Supply.com | Supplies, County Jail | 1,054.51 |
| Eakes Office Plus | Supplies, District Court | 14.88 |
| Eakes Office Plus | Supplies, County Court | 231.36 |
| Eakes Office Plus | Supplies, Courthouse | 36.99 |
| Eakes Office Plus | Supplies, County Sheriff | 5.58 |
| Eakes Office Plus | Supplies, County Attorney | 939.28 |
| Eakes Office Plus | Supplies, Equipment, Probation | 1,129.96 |
| Electrical Engineering & Equipment Company | Supplies, Courthouse | 78.60 |
| Electronic Contracting Company | Repairs, County Jail | 810.00 |
| Field's Hardware | Supplies, Public Defender | 6.99 |
| Field's Hardware | Supplies, County Jail | 83.97 |
| First Concord Benefits Group | Flexible Benefit Plan, Administrative Fees | 2,588.43 |
| Fitzgerald, Vetter & Temple | Legal Services, District Court | 611.29 |
| Fitzgerald, Vetter & Temple | Legal Services, County Court | 661.94 |
| Floor Maintenance and Paper Supply | Supplies, Courthouse | 740.39 |
| Floor Maintenance and Paper Supply | Supplies, County Jail | 542.80 |
| Gempler's | Tools, Noxious Weed | 45.45 |
| Good Source Solutions | Meals, County Jail | 108.00 |
| Carey Hopkins | Mileage, Juvenile Diversion | 50.60 |
| Jeffrey Hrouda | Legal Services, District Court | 529.21 |
| Joseph Hurd | Mileage, County Attorney | 17.25 |
| Husker Auto Group, Inc. | Vehicle, County Sheriff | 14,795.50 |
| Husker Auto Group, Inc. | Vehicle, County Jail | 14,795.50 |
| Hyvee Accounts Receivable | Supplies, Juvenile Diversion | 8.75 |
| ID Wholesaler | Supplies, County Sheriff | 138.00 |
| Jack's Uniforms & Equipment | Uniforms, County Sheriff | 24.00 |
| Jack's Uniforms & Equipment | Uniforms, County Jail | 219.69 |
| Jerry's Sinclair | Repairs, County Sheriff | 53.41 |
| John's Disposal, Inc. | Garbage Service, Probation | 61.00 |
| John's Disposal, Inc. | Garbage Service, Veterans' Service Office | 21.00 |
| JS Wurm and Associates | Transcript, Law Enforcement | 109.25 |
| John Krantz | Rent, Juvenile Diversion | 1,500.00 |
| L-Tron Corporation | Equipment, County Sheriff | 50.70 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| Lexis Nexis | Subscription, County Attorney | 442.00 |
| Limestone County Sheriff | Service Fees, Law Enforcement | 25.00 |
| Linsali, Inc. | Services, Reappraisal | 5,710.00 |
| Madison County Clerk | Tax Deposit | 49,469.98 |
| Madison County Sheriff Inmate Fund | Supplies, County Jail | 41.46 |
| Madison County Sheriff | Fees, County Court | 717.89 |
| Madison County Sheriff | Fees, District Court | 985.34 |
| Madison County Sheriff | Service Fees, Mental Health | 28.29 |
| Madison County Veterans Aid Fund | Veterans Aid | 6,000.00 |
| Madison National Life Insurance Co. Inc. | Insurance Premiums | 133.29 |
| Madison Star Mail | Publication Costs, Planning and Zoning | 5.40 |
| Marathon | Supplies, County Court | 551.44 |
| Jim Marshall | Services, Juvenile Diversion | 45.00 |
| Meds & More | Medical Services, County Relief | 90.96 |
| Menards | Supplies, Planning and Zoning | 6.19 |
| Microfilm Imaging Systems, Inc. | Equipment Rental, County Court | 218.00 |
| Microfilm Imaging Systems, Inc. | Equipment Rental, Law Enforcement | 70.00 |
| Mimick Motor Company | Repairs, County Sheriff | 86.18 |
| MIPS Inc. | Computer Support, County Treasurer | 1,141.04 |
| Andrew Mohr | Mileage, Juvenile Diversion | 46.63 |
| Morland, Easland and Lohrberg | Legal Services, District Court | 1,466.55 |
| National Enterprise Systems | Garnish of Wages | 194.91 |
| Nationwide Retirement Solutions | Deferred Compensation | 835.00 |
| Nebraska Child Support Payment Center | Garnish of Wages | 369.23 |
| Nebraska Health & Human Services | State Institution Costs | 1,128.00 |
| Nebraska Planning & Zoning Association | Training, Planning and Zoning | 410.00 |
| Nebraska Public Power District | Utilities, Probation | 386.18 |
| NIRMA | Legal Services, Courthouse | 713.45 |
| Norfolk Auto Center | Repairs, County Sheriff | 646.52 |
| Norfolk Daily News | Publication Costs, Noxious Weed | 60.00 |
| Norfolk Daily News | Publication Costs, Courthouse | 598.96 |
| Norfolk NAPA | Repairs, Noxious Weed | 99.00 |
| Valorie Olson | Court Reporting, Mental Health | 100.00 |
| Valorie Olson | Transcript, District Court | 656.50 |
| Marvin Pfeifer | Snow Removal, Public Defender | 100.00 |
| Phillips 66 | Fuel, County Sheriff | 572.32 |
| Pitney Bowes | Equipment Rental, Courthouse | 547.95 |
| Platte County Court | Copies, Law Enforcement | 4.64 |
| Susan Pohlman | Services, Juvenile Diversion | 260.00 |
| Postmaster | Postage, Planning and Zoning | 221.00 |
| Postmaster | Postage, Noxious Weed | 21.00 |
| Donna Primrose | Mileage, County Treasurer | 63.65 |
| Racom Corp | Equipment, Services, County Sheriff | 856.00 |
| Ramada Inn Kearney | Lodging, Noxious Weed | 138.00 |
| Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas | Employee Share Retirement | 8,655.37 |
| Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas | Employer Share Retirement | 12,658.07 |
| RR Donnelley Company | Supplies, County Sheriff | 56.59 |
| RS Electric | Services, Courthouse | 110.00 |
| Schroeder Land Surveying | Services, County Surveyor | 1,520.00 |
| Secretary of State | Publication, Law Enforcement | 20.00 |
| Security Shredding Services | Services, District Court | 35.00 |
| Shell | Fuel, Reappraisal | 264.30 |
| Shell | Fuel, County Sheriff | 3,088.33 |
| Shopko Stores Operating Co., L.L.C. | Supplies, Planning and Zoning | 21.89 |
| Shopko Stores Operating Co., L.L.C. | Supplies, Noxious Weed | 11.74 |
| Short Stop | Fuel, County Sheriff | 31.79 |
| Stratton, DeLay, Doele, Carlson & Buettner, P.C., L.L.O. | Legal Services, District Court | 2,445.25 |
| Stratton, DeLay, Doele, Carlson & Buettner, P.C., L.L.O. | Legal Services, County Court | 879.10 |
| Michelle Sullivan | Mileage, Juvenile Diversion | 209.30 |
| Summit Foods | Supplies, Courthouse | 115.68 |
| Donald Svitak | Mileage, Noxious Weed | 138.00 |
| T.O. Haas Tire | Repairs, County Sheriff | 371.02 |
| The UPS Store | Postage, Planning and Zoning | 165.00 |
| The UPS Store | Postage, Noxious Weed | 55.00 |
| Laura Stoltz | Expert Witness Fees, Law Enforcement | 800.00 |
| Thomson Reuters-West | Subscription, District Judge | 128.50 |
| Thomson Reuters-West | Subscription, Public Defender | 273.47 |
| Trane | Repairs, Courthouse | 661.96 |
| Transunion Risk & Alternative Data Solutions, Inc. | Services, County Sheriff | 6.25 |
| US Bank Corporate Payment System | Fuel, Equipment, County Sheriff | 796.51 |
| US Bank Corporate Payment System | Postage, Supplies, Fuel, Equipment, Juvenile Diversion | 1,059.94 |
| Van Diest Supply Company | Chemicals, Noxious Weed | 18,196.80 |
| Verizon Wireless | Telephone Services, Noxious Weed | 62.99 |
| Walmart Community | Supplies, Reappraisal | 5.67 |
| Irma Watt | Interpreting Services, Public Defender | 89.55 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, County Clerk | 40.47 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, Copier Maintenance, County Treasurer | 182.95 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------|--|----------|
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, Copier Maintenance, County Court | 1,615.66 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, Public Defender | 67.36 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, Copier Maintenance, Reappraisal | 166.37 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, County Sheriff | 234.52 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, County Attorney | 54.99 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies, Veterans' Service Office | 10.99 |
| Wex Bank | Fuel, County Sheriff | 1,006.05 |
| Zippy Lube | Repairs, County Sheriff | 65.87 |

ROAD/BRIDGE FUND

| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| Total Net Payroll | | 28,935.31 |
| Advance Auto Parts | Parts | 34.99 |
| Advanced Fire & Safety Inc | Shop Supplies | 812.00 |
| Albracht Disposal | Garbage Service | 40.00 |
| American Family Life Assurance Company | Insurance Premiums | 411.50 |
| Ameritas Life Insurance Corporation | Insurance Premiums | 123.52 |
| Appeara | Shop Supplies | 208.14 |
| Arnold Motor Supply | Parts | 20.19 |
| B & D Truck Parts | Parts | 250.00 |
| B's Enterprises Inc. | Culverts | 20,310.80 |
| Battle Creek Farmer's Coop | Fuel | 4,355.78 |
| Bauer Built Tire | Repairs, Tires | 1,458.64 |
| Black Hills Energy | Gas Service | 199.02 |
| Blue Cross Blue Shield of Nebraska | Insurance Premiums | 92.65 |
| California Contractors Supplies, Inc. | Shop Supplies | 127.89 |
| Carquest Auto of Norfolk | Parts | 45.48 |
| Colonial Life and Accident Insurance | Insurance Premiums | 153.38 |
| Cornhusker International Trucks Inc. | Repairs | 837.73 |
| Corvette Restorations | Repairs | 137.50 |
| Credit Management Services | Garnish of Wages | 156.88 |
| Dale Johnson Ent. Inc. | Gravel | 11,299.36 |
| Eastern Nebraska Trailer Repair, Inc. | Repairs | 4,342.69 |
| Electrical Engineering & Equipment Co. | Shop Supplies | 12.44 |
| Filter Care of Nebraska LTD | Repairs | 90.30 |
| First Concord Benefits Group | Flexible Benefit Plan, Administrative Fees | 535.76 |
| Frohberg Electric | Repairs | 77.50 |
| Henkel Oil Company | Shop Supplies | 68.80 |
| Hradec Diesel Inc. | Parts | 36.52 |
| Kelly Supply Company | Parts | 77.81 |
| Ken's Trailer Sales & Repair | Parts | 4.10 |
| KGP Services, L.L.C. | Services | 10.00 |
| Kimball Midwest | Parts | 131.50 |
| Kohlhof Truck Repair Inc. | Repairs | 3,201.68 |
| Laker Chemical Inc. | Shop Supplies | 96.50 |
| Madison County Clerk | Tax Deposit | 10,134.85 |
| Madison County Sheriff | Title Inspection | 10.00 |
| Madison County Treasurer | Insurance, Title Fee | 26.28 |
| Madison National Life Insurance Co. Inc. | Insurance Premiums | 34.37 |
| Matheson Tri-Gas Inc. | Shop Supplies | 106.15 |
| Matteo Sand & Gravel Company Inc. | Gravel | 33,785.16 |
| Meisinger Oil Company | Fuel | 8,461.89 |
| Menards | Shop Supplies | 289.90 |
| Morrissey Motor Company | Repairs | 503.02 |
| Myers Tire Supply | Repairs | 60.45 |
| Nebraska Child Support Payment Center | Garnish of Wages | 93.24 |
| Nebraska Harvestore Systems | Parts | 62.36 |
| Nebraska Public Power District | Utilities | 201.75 |
| NMC Exchange, L.L.C. | Repairs | 12,891.58 |
| Northeast Machine & Manufacturing | Bridge Materials | 390.90 |
| Pioneer Telephone | Telephone Services | 48.87 |
| Power Station | Equipment | 2,558.95 |
| Quick Serve Oil Company Inc. | Fuel | 208.80 |
| Red Bud Hardware Inc. | Shop Supplies | 173.37 |
| Reigle Implement Company Inc. | Tools, Supplies | 972.98 |
| Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas | Employee Share Retirement | 1,716.47 |
| Retirement Plans Division of Ameritas | Employer Share Retirement | 2,574.78 |
| Stanley Petroleum Maintenance | Supplies | 57.98 |
| Telebeep Wireless | Internet Services | 119.85 |
| Truck Center Companies | Parts | 81.50 |
| Verizon Wireless | Telephone Services | 175.73 |
| Weldon Parts Inc. | Parts | 885.51 |
| Western Office Technologies | Supplies | 20.99 |
| White Star Oil Company | Fuel | 222.22 |
| Willow Creek Sand & Gravel | Gravel | 1,227.24 |
| Zee Medical | Shop Supplies | 76.25 |
| Zoubek Oil Company Inc. | Fuel | 3,281.25 |

VISITORS PROMOTION FUND

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Norfolk Area Visitors Bureau | Administrative Expense | 3,057.37 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------|

VISITORS IMPROVEMENT FUND

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Norfolk Area Visitors Bureau | Administrative Expense | 1,038.53 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------|

DRUG TESTING FUND

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Faith Regional Health Services | Testing | 35.00 |
| Medtox Laboratories | Testing | 86.26 |

LAW ENFORCEMENT OPERATING FUND

| | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Cableone | Cable Service | 149.50 |
| Encartele, Inc. | Telephone Cards | 3,000.00 |
| Madison County Sheriff Inmate Fund | Supplies | 73.86 |

DRUG COURT FUND

| | | |
|-------------------------|----------|--------|
| Adam Jorgensen | Supplies | 55.00 |
| On Call Employee Health | Testing | 100.00 |
| Putters | Supplies | 21.00 |
| Redwood Toxicology Lab | Testing | 130.00 |

Motion was made by Schmidt and seconded by Prauner to approve the claims as audited and authorize the County Clerk to issue checks for payment of said claims. Roll call vote: Ayes, Prauner and Schmidt. Nays, none. Absent, Ohl. Motion carried.

The Board adjourned at 11:45 A.M. to Tuesday, May 5, 2015 at 9:30 A.M.

County Clerk Nancy Scheer

James Prauner, Vice-Chairman
County Board of Commissioners